## HANDBOOK 2

## DESIGN OF TIMBER STRUCTURES ACCORDING TO EUROCODE 5

## Preface

This handbook makes specific reference to design of timber structures to European Standards and using products available in Europe.

The handbook is closely linked to Eurocode 5 (EC5), the European code for the design of timber structures.

This handbook is explaining the general philosophy of the Eurocode 5 and giving the basic background for its requirements and design rules.

For better understanding of the Eurocode 5 design rules the worked examples are presented.
The purpose of this handbook is to introduce readers to the design of timber structures. It is designed to serve either as a text for a course in timber structures or as a reference for systematic self-study of the subject.
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## 1 Introduction

From the earliest years of recorded history, trees have provided mankind with food and materials for shelter, fuel and tools. Timber is one of the earliest building materials used by our predecessors, and most of us experience a strong affinity with the beauty and intrinsic characteristics of this natural material when timber is used in the places we work and live.

Timber is the oldest known building material capable of transferring both tension and compression forces - making it naturally suited as a beam element. It has a very high strength to weight ratio, it is relatively easy to fabricate and to join, it often out-performs alternative materials in hazardous environments and extremes of temperature (including fire), it does not corrode and many species if detailed correctly can be very durable. The unique properties of timber have made it a cornerstone contributor to the advance of civilisation and development of society as we know it today.

Timber has been used in the construction of buildings, bridges, machinery, war engines, civil engineering works and boats etc. since mankind first learnt to fashion tools.

Timber is a truly remarkable material. Whilst most of the structural materials we use are processed from finite resources, requiring enormous amounts of energy and producing significant green house emissions, timber is grown using solar energy, in natural soil which is fertilised by its own compost, fuelled by carbon dioxide and watered by rain. Because it literally grows on trees, timber is the only structural engineering material which can be totally renewed - provided that trees are replanted (plantations) or naturally regenerated (native forests) after felling!

At the same time forests provide a number of unique and varied benefits that include protection of our climate, water and soil and a great range of recreational functions enjoyed by the general public.

Forests, forest based industries, the services, goods and products they provide affect directly the daily life of any of the 450 M Europe's Citizen. Within the EU countries, the forests cover 140 millions hectares which accounts for $36 \%$ of land area on an average, ranging from $1 \%$ in Cyprus to 71 \% in Finland. Europe's forests are extending in area, increasing in growth rate, and expanding in standing volume.

From an engineering point of view, timber is different from wood. Wood is the substance of which the trunks and branches of trees are made, which is cut and used for various purposes. Timber is wood for building.

In the hands of skilled professionals who have an appreciation and understanding of its natural characteristics, timber has significant advantages over alternative structural materials, enhancing the best designs with a sense of appropriateness, unity, serenity and warmth in achieving the marriage of form and function, which is simply not possible with concrete and steel.

## 2 Design of timber structures

Before starting formal calculations it is necessary to analyse the structure and set up an appropriate design model. In doing this there may be a conflict between simple, but often conservative, models which make the calculations easy, and more complicated models which better reflect the behaviour but with a higher risk of making errors and overlooking failure modes.

The geometrical model must be compatible with the expected workmanship. For structures sensitive to geometrical variations it is especially important to ensure that the structure is produced as assumed during design. The influence of unavoidable deviations from the assumed geometry and of displacements and deformations during loading should be estimated.

Connections often require large areas of contact and this may give rise to local excentricities which may have an important influence. Often there is a certain freedom as regards the modelling as long as a consistent set of assumptions is used.

The Eurocodes are limit state design codes, meaning that the requirements concerning structural reliability are linked to clearly defined states beyond which the structure no longer satisfies specified performance criteria. In the Eurocode system only two types of limit states are considered: ultimate limit states and serviceability limit states.

Ultimate limit states are those associated with collapse or with other forms of structural failure. Ultimate limit states include: loss of equilibrium; failure through excessive deformations; transformation of the structure into a mechanism; rupture; loss of stability.

Serviceability limit states include: deformations which affect the appearance or the effective use of the structure; vibrations which cause discomfort to people or damage to the structure; damage (including cracking) which is likely to have an adverse effect on the durability of the structure.

In the Eurocodes the safety verification is based on the partial factor method described below.

### 2.1 Principles of limit state design

The design models for the different limit states shall, as appropriate, take into account the following:

- different material properties (e.g. strength and stiffness);
- different time-dependent behaviour of the materials (duration of load, creep);
- different climatic conditions (temperature, moisture variations);
- different design situations (stages of construction, change of support conditions).


### 2.1.1 Ultimate limit states

The analysis of structures shall be carried out using the following values for stiffness properties:

- for a first order linear elastic analysis of a structure, whose distribution of internal forces is not affected by the stiffness distribution within the structure (e.g. all members have the same time-dependent properties), mean values shall be used;
- for a first order linear elastic analysis of a structure, whose distribution of internal forces is affected by the stiffness distribution within the structure (e.g. composite members
containing materials having different time-dependent properties), final mean values adjusted to the load component causing the largest stress in relation to strength shall be used;
- for a second order linear elastic analysis of a structure, design values, not adjusted for duration of load, shall be used.

The slip modulus of a connection for the ultimate limit state, $K_{\mathrm{u}}$, should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\mathrm{u}}=\frac{2}{3} K_{\mathrm{ser}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K_{\text {ser }}$ is the slip modulus.

### 2.1.2 Serviceability limit states

The deformation of a structure which results from the effects of actions (such as axial and shear forces, bending moments and joint slip) and from moisture shall remain within appropriate limits, having regard to the possibility of damage to surfacing materials, ceilings, floors, partitions and finishes, and to the functional needs as well as any appearance requirements.

The instantaneous deformation, $u_{\text {inst }}$, see Chapter 7, should be calculated for the characteristic combination of actions using mean values of the appropriate moduli of elasticity, shear moduli and slip moduli.

The final deformation, $u_{\text {fin }}$, see Chapter 7, should be calculated for the quasi-permanent combination of actions.

If the structure consists of members or components having different creep behaviour, the final deformation should be calculated using final mean values of the appropriate moduli of elasticity, shear moduli and slip moduli.

For structures consisting of members, components and connections with the same creep behaviour and under the assumption of a linear relationship between the actions and the corresponding deformations the final deformation, $u_{\text {fin }}$, may be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{\mathrm{fin}}=u_{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{G}}+u_{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{Q}_{1}}+u_{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{i}}} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$u_{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{G}}=u_{\mathrm{inst}, \mathrm{G}}\left(1+k_{\mathrm{def}}\right) \quad$ for a permanent action, $G$
$u_{\text {fin, } \mathrm{Q}, 1}=u_{\text {inst }, \mathrm{Q}, 1}\left(1+\psi_{2,1} k_{\text {def }}\right) \quad$ for the leading variable action, $Q_{1}$
$u_{\mathrm{fin}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{i}}=u_{\mathrm{inst}, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{i}}\left(\psi_{0, \mathrm{i}}+\psi_{2, \mathrm{i}} k_{\mathrm{def}}\right)$ for accompanying variable actions, $Q_{\mathrm{i}}(\mathrm{i}>1)$
$u_{\text {inst }, \mathrm{G}}, u_{\text {inst }, \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{l}}, u_{\text {inst, } \mathrm{Q}, \mathrm{i}}$ are the instantaneous deformations for action $G, Q_{1}, Q_{\mathrm{i}}$ respectively;
$\psi_{2,1}, \psi_{2, i} \quad$ are the factors for the quasi-permanent value of variable actions;
$\psi_{0, \mathrm{i}} \quad$ are the factors for the combination value of variable actions;
$k_{\text {def }} \quad$ is given in Chapter 3 for timber and wood-based materials, and in Chapter 2 for connections.

For serviceability limit states with respect to vibrations, mean values of the appropriate stiffness moduli should be used.

### 2.2 Basic variables

The main variables are the actions, the material properties and the geometrical data.

### 2.2.1 Actions and environmental influences

Actions to be used in design may be obtained from the relevant parts of EN 1991.
Note 1: The relevant parts of EN 1991 for use in design include:
EN 1991-1-1 Densities, self-weight and imposed loads
EN 1991-1-3 Snow loads
EN 1991-1-4 Wind actions
EN 1991-1-5 Thermal actions
EN 1991-1-6 Actions during execution
EN 1991-1-7 Accidental actions
Duration of load and moisture content affect the strength and stiffness properties of timber and wood-based elements and shall be taken into account in the design for mechanical resistance and serviceability.

## Load-duration classes

The load-duration classes are characterised by the effect of a constant load acting for a certain period of time in the life of the structure. For a variable action the appropriate class shall be determined on the basis of an estimate of the typical variation of the load with time.

Actions shall be assigned to one of the load-duration classes given in Table 2.1 for strength and stiffness calculations.

Table 2.1 Load-duration classes

| Load-duration class | Order of accumulated duration <br> of characteristic load |
| :--- | :---: |
| Permanent | more than 10 years |
| Long-term | 6 months -10 years |
| Medium-term | 1 week -6 months |
| Short-term | less than one week |
| Instantaneous |  |

NOTE: Examples of load-duration assignment are given in Table 2.2

Table 2.2 Examples of load-duration assignment

| Load-duration class | Examples of loading |
| :--- | :---: |
| Permanent | self-weight |
| Long-term | storage |
| Medium-term | imposed floor load, snow |
| Short-term | snow, wind |
| Instantaneous | wind, accidental load |

## Service classes

Structures shall be assigned to one of the service classes given below:
NOTE: The service class system is mainly aimed at assigning strength values and for calculating deformations under defined environmental conditions.

Service class 1 is characterised by a moisture content in the materials corresponding to a temperature of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the relative humidity of the surrounding air only exceeding $65 \%$ for a few weeks per year.

NOTE: In service class 1 the average moisture content in most softwoods will not exceed $12 \%$.

Service class 2 is characterised by a moisture content in the materials corresponding to a temperature of $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and the relative humidity of the surrounding air only exceeding $85 \%$ for a few weeks per year.

NOTE: In service class 2 the average moisture content in most softwoods will not exceed $20 \%$.

Service class 3 is characterised by climatic conditions leading to higher moisture contents than in service class 2 .

### 2.2.2 Materials and product properties

## Load-duration and moisture influences on strength

Modification factors for the influence of load-duration and moisture content on strength are given in Chapter 3.

Where a connection is constituted of two timber elements having different time-dependent behaviour, the calculation of the design load-carrying capacity should be made with the following modification factor $k_{\text {mod }}$
$k_{\text {mod }}=\sqrt{k_{\text {mod, }, 1} k_{\text {mod }, 2}}$
where $k_{\mathrm{mod}, 1}$ and $k_{\mathrm{mod}, 2}$ are the modification factors for the two timber elements.

## Load-duration and moisture influences on deformations

For serviceability limit states, if the structure consists of members or components having different time-dependent properties, the final mean value of modulus of elasticity, $E_{\text {mean,fin }}$, shear modulus, $G_{\text {mean,fin }}$, and slip modulus, $K_{\text {ser,fin }}$, which are used to calculate the final deformation should be taken from the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\text {mean,fin }}=\frac{E_{\text {mean }}}{\left(1+k_{\text {def }}\right)}  \tag{2.7}\\
& G_{\text {mean, fin }}=\frac{G_{\text {mean }}}{\left(1+k_{\text {def }}\right)}  \tag{2.8}\\
& K_{\text {ser,fin }}=\frac{K_{\text {ser }}}{\left(1+k_{\text {def }}\right)} \tag{2.9}
\end{align*}
$$

For ultimate limit states, where the distribution of member forces and moments is affected by the stiffness distribution in the structure, the final mean value of modulus of elasticity, $E_{\text {mean,fin }}$, shear modulus , $G_{\text {mean,fin }}$, and slip modulus, $K_{\text {ser,fin }}$, should be calculated from the following expressions :

$$
\begin{align*}
& E_{\text {mean,fin }}=\frac{E_{\text {mean }}}{\left(1+\psi_{2} k_{\text {def }}\right)}  \tag{2.10}\\
& G_{\text {mean,fin }}=\frac{G_{\text {mean }}}{\left(1+\psi_{2} k_{\text {def }}\right)}  \tag{2.11}\\
& K_{\text {ser,fin }}=\frac{K_{\text {ser }}}{\left(1+\psi_{2} k_{\text {def }}\right)} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$E_{\text {mean }} \quad$ is the mean value of modulus of elasticity;
$G_{\text {mean }}$ is the mean value of shear modulus;
$K_{\text {ser }} \quad$ is the slip modulus;
$k_{\text {def }} \quad$ is a factor for the evaluation of creep deformation taking into account the relevant service class;
$\psi_{2} \quad$ is the factor for the quasi-permanent value of the action causing the largest stress in relation to the strength (if this action is a permanent action, $\psi_{2}$ should be replaced by 1 ).

NOTE 1: Values of $k_{\text {def }}$ are given in Chapter 3.
NOTE 2: Values of $\psi_{2}$ are given in EN 1990:2002.
Where a connection is constituted of timber elements with the same time-dependent behaviour, the value of $k_{\text {def }}$ should be doubled.

Where a connection is constituted of two wood-based elements having different time-dependent behaviour, the calculation of the final deformation should be made with the following deformation factor $k_{\text {def: }}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{def}}=2 \sqrt{k_{\mathrm{def}, 1} k_{\mathrm{def}, 2}} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\text {def, } 1}$ and $k_{\text {def }, 2}$ are the deformation factors for the two timber elements.

### 2.3 Verification by the partial factor method

A low probability of getting action values higher than the resistances, in the partial factor method, is achieved by using design values found by multiplying the characteristic actions and dividing the characteristic strength parameters, by partial safety factors.

### 2.3.1 Design value of material property

The design value $X_{\mathrm{d}}$ of a strength property shall be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathrm{d}}=k_{\mathrm{mod}} \frac{X_{\mathrm{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$X_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic value of a strength property;
$\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}$ is the partial factor for a material property;
$k_{\text {mod }}$ is a modification factor taking into account the effect of the duration of load and moisture content.

NOTE 1: Values of $k_{\text {mod }}$ are given in Chapter 3.
NOTE 2: The recommended partial factors for material properties $\left(\gamma_{M}\right)$ are given in Table 2.3. Information on the National choice may be found in the National annex of each country.

Table 2.3 Recommended partial factors $\mathcal{K}_{M}$ for material properties and resistances

| Fundamental combinations: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Solid timber | 1,3 |
| Glued laminated timber | 1,25 |
| LVL, plywood, OSB, | 1,2 |
| Particleboards | 1,3 |
| Fibreboards, hard | 1,3 |
| Fibreboards, medium | 1,3 |
| Fibreboards, MDF | 1,3 |
| Fibreboards, soft | 1,3 |
| Connections | 1,3 |
| Punched metal plate fasteners | 1,25 |
| Accidental combinations | 1,0 |

The design member stiffness property $E_{\mathrm{d}}$ or $G_{\mathrm{d}}$ shall be calculated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\mathrm{d}} & =\frac{E_{\text {mean }}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}  \tag{2.15}\\
G_{\mathrm{d}} & =\frac{G_{\text {mean }}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{2.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$E_{\text {mean }}$ is the mean value of modulus of elasticity;
$G_{\text {mean }}$ is the mean value of shear modulus.

### 2.3.2 Design value of geometrical data

Geometrical data for cross-sections and systems may be taken as nominal values from product standards hEN or drawings for the execution.

Design values of geometrical imperfections specified in this handbook comprise the effects of

- geometrical imperfections of members;
- the effects of structural imperfections from fabrication and erection;
- inhomogeneity of materials (e.g. due to knots).


### 2.3.3 Design resistances

The design value $R_{\mathrm{d}}$ of a resistance (load-carrying capacity) shall be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\mathrm{d}}=k_{\mathrm{mod}} \frac{R_{\mathrm{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$R_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic value of load-carrying capacity;
$\gamma_{M}$ is the partial factor for a material property,
$k_{\text {mod }}$ is a modification factor taking into account the effect of the duration of load and moisture content.

NOTE 1: Values of $k_{\text {mod }}$ are given in Chapter 3.
NOTE 2: For partial factors, see Table 2.3.

## 3 Design values of material properties

Eurocode 5 in common with the other Eurocodes provides no data on strength and stiffness properties for structural materials. It merely states the rules appropriate to the determination of these values to achieve compatibility with the safety format and the design rules of EC5.

### 3.1 Introduction

## Strength and stiffness parameters

Strength and stiffness parameters shall be determined on the basis of tests for the types of action effects to which the material will be subjected in the structure, or on the basis of comparisons with similar timber species and grades or wood-based materials, or on wellestablished relations between the different properties.

## Stress-strain relations

Since the characteristic values are determined on the assumption of a linear relation between stress and strain until failure, the strength verification of individual members shall also be based on such a linear relation.

For members or parts of members subjected to compression, a non-linear relationship (elasticplastic) may be used.

## Strength modification factors for service classes and load-duration classes

The values of the modification factor $k_{\text {mod }}$ given in Table 3.1 should be used.
If a load combination consists of actions belonging to different load-duration classes a value of $k_{\text {mod }}$ should be chosen which corresponds to the action with the shortest duration, e.g. for a combination of dead load and a short-term load, a value of $k_{\text {mod }}$ corresponding to the shortterm load should be used.

Table 3.1 Values of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {mod }}$

| Material | Standard | Service <br> class | Load-duration class |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Permanent | Long <br> action | Medium <br> term <br> action <br> action | Short <br> term <br> action | Instanta- <br> neous <br> action |
| Solid timber | EN 14081-1 |  | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  |  |  | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  |  | 3 | 0,50 | 0,55 | 0,65 | 0,70 | 0,90 |
| Glued | EN 14080 | 1 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
| laminated |  | 2 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
| timber |  | 3 | 0,50 | 0,55 | 0,65 | 0,70 | 0,90 |
| LVL | EN 14374, EN 14279 | 1 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  |  | 2 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  |  | 3 | 0,50 | 0,55 | 0,65 | 0,70 | 0,90 |
| Plywood | EN 636 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Part 1, Part 2, Part 3 | 1 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  | Part 2, Part 3 | 2 | 0,60 | 0,70 | 0,80 | 0,90 | 1,10 |
|  | Part 3 | 3 | 0,50 | 0,55 | 0,65 | 0,70 | 0,90 |


| OSB | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \text { EN } 300 \\ \text { OSB/2 } \\ \text { OSB/3, OSB/4 } \\ \text { OSB/3, OSB/4 } \end{array}$ | 1 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,30 \\ & 0,40 \\ & 0,30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,45 \\ & 0,50 \\ & 0,40 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,65 \\ & 0,70 \\ & 0,55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,85 \\ & 0,90 \\ & 0,70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,10 \\ & 1,10 \\ & 0,90 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Particleboard | EN 312 <br> Part 4, Part 5 <br> Part 5 <br> Part 6, Part 7 <br> Part 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,30 \\ & 0,20 \\ & 0,40 \\ & 0,30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,45 \\ & 0,30 \\ & 0,50 \\ & 0,40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,65 \\ & 0,45 \\ & 0,70 \\ & 0,55 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,85 \\ & 0,60 \\ & 0,90 \\ & 0,70 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,10 \\ & 0,80 \\ & 1,10 \\ & 0,90 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fibreboard, hard | EN 622-2 <br> HB.LA, HB.HLA 1 <br> or 2 <br> HB.HLA1 or 2 | 1 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,30 \\ & 0,20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,45 \\ & 0,30 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,65 \\ & 0,45 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,85 \\ & 0,60 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,10 \\ 0,80 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Fibreboard, medium | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { EN } 622-3 \\ \text { MBH.LA1 or } 2 \\ \text { MBH.HLS1 or } 2 \\ \text { MBH.HLS1 or } 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 1 \\ & 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,20 \\ 0,20 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,40 \\ 0,40 \\ \quad \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0,60 \\ 0,60 \\ - \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0,80 \\ & 0,80 \\ & 0,45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,10 \\ & 1,10 \\ & 0,80 \end{aligned}$ |
| Fibreboard, MDF | EN 622-5 <br> MDF.LA, <br> MDF.HLS <br> MDF.HLS | 1 2 | 0,20 | 0,40 | 0,60 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,80 \\ & 0,45 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,10 \\ & 0,80 \end{aligned}$ |

## Deformation modification factors for service classes

The values of the deformation factors $k_{\text {def }}$ given in Table 3.2 should be used.

### 3.2 Solid timber

Timber members shall comply with EN 14081-1. Timber members with round cross-section shall comply with EN 14544.

NOTE: Values of strength and stiffness properties (see Table 3.4) are given for structural timber allocated to strength classes in EN 338.

The establishment of strength classes and related strength and stiffness profiles is possible because, independently, nearly all softwoods and hardwoods commercially available exhibit a similar relationship between strength and stiffness properties.

Experimental data shows that all important characteristic strength and stiffness properties can be calculated from either bending strength, modulus of elasticity (E) or density. However, further research is required to establish the effect of timber quality on these relationships and to decide whether accuracy could be improved by modifying these retationships for different strength classes.

Deciduous species (hardwoods) have a different anatomical structure from coniferous species (softwoods). They generally have higher densities but not correspondingly higher strength and stiffness properties. This is why EN 338 provides separate strength classes for coniferous and deciduous species. Poplar, increasingly used for structural purposes, shows a density/strength relationship closer to that of coniferous species and was therefore assigned to coniferous strength classes.

Due to the relationships between strength, stiffness and density a species /source/ grade combination can be assigned to a specific strength class based on the characteristic values of bending strength, modulus of elasticity and density.

According to EN 338 a timber population can thus be assigned to a strength class provided

- the timber has been visually or machine strength graded according to the specifications of EN 518 or EN 519;
- the characteristic strength, stiffness and density values have been determined according to EN 384 "Determination of characteristic values of mechanical properties and density";
- the characteristic values of bending strength, modulus of elasticity and density of the population are equal to or greater than the corresponding values of the related strength class.

The effect of member size on strength may be taken into account.
Table 3.2 Values of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {def }}$ for timber and wood-based materials

| Material | Standard | Service class |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Solid timber | EN 14081-1 | 0,60 | 0,80 | 2,00 |
| Glued <br> timber | EN 14080 | 0,60 | 0,80 | 2,00 |
| LVL | EN 14374, EN 14279 | 0,60 | 0,80 | 2,00 |
| Plywood | EN 636 Part 1 Part 2 Part 3 | $\begin{aligned} & 0,80 \\ & 0,80 \\ & 0,80 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 1,00 \\ 1,00 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ - \\ 2,50 \end{gathered}$ |
| OSB | EN 300 OSB/2 OSB/3, OSB/4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,25 \\ & 1,50 \end{aligned}$ | 2,25 |  |
| Particleboard | EN 312 Part 4 Part 5 Part 6 Part 7 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,25 \\ & 2,25 \\ & 1,50 \\ & 1,50 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} - \\ 3,00 \\ - \\ 2,25 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Fibreboard, hard | EN 622-2 HB.LA HB.HLA1, HB.HLA2 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,25 \\ 2,25 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $3,00$ | - |
| Fibreboard, medium | EN 622-3 $\quad$ MBH.LA1, MBH.LA2 MBH.HLS1, MBH.HLS2 | $\begin{aligned} & 3,00 \\ & 3,00 \end{aligned}$ | $4,00$ | - |
| Fibreboard, MDF | EN 622-5 MDF.LA MDF.HLS | $\begin{aligned} & 2,25 \\ & 2,25 \end{aligned}$ | 3,00 | - |

For rectangular solid timber with a characteristic timber density $\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 700 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$, the reference depth in bending or width (maximum cross-sectional dimension) in tension is 150 mm . For depths in bending or widths in tension of solid timber less than 150 mm the characteristic values for $f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{k}}$ may be increased by the factor $k_{\mathrm{h}}$, given by:
$k_{\mathrm{h}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{150}{h}\right)^{0,2} \\ 1,3\end{array}\right.$
where $h$ is the depth for bending members or width for tension members, in mm.
For timber which is installed at or near its fibre saturation point, and which is likely to dry out under load, the values of $k_{\text {def }}$, given in Table 3.2, should be increased by 1,0 .

Finger joints shall comply with EN 385.

### 3.3 Glued laminated timber

Glued laminated timber members shall comply with EN 14080.
NOTE: Values of strength and stiffness properties are given for glued laminated timber allocated to strength classes in EN 1194.

Formulae for calculating the mechanical properties of glulam from the lamination properties are given in Table 3.3.

The basic requirements for the laminations which are used in the formulae of Table 3.3 are the tension characteristic strength and the mean modulus of elasticity. The density of the laminations is an indicative property. These properties shall be either the tabulated values given in EN 338 or derived according to the principles given in EN 1194.

The requirements for glue line integrity are based on the testing of the glue line in a full crosssectional specimen, cut from a manufactured member. Depending on the service class, delamination tests (according to EN 391 "Glued laminated timber - delamination test of glue lines") or block shear tests (according to EN 392 "Glued laminated timber - glue line shear test") must be performed.

Table 3.3 Mechanical properties of glued laminated timber (in N/mm ${ }^{2}$ )

| Property |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Bending | $f_{m, g, k}$ | $=7+1,15 f_{t, 0, l, k}$ |
| Tension | $f_{t, 0, g, k}$ | $=5+0,8 f_{t, 0, l, k}$ |
|  | $f_{t, 90, g, k}$ | $=0,2+0,015 f_{t, 0, l, k}$ |
| Compresion | $f_{c, 0, g, k}$ | $=7,2 f_{t, 0, l, k}^{0,05}$ |
|  | $f_{c, 90, g, k}$ | $=0,7 f_{t, 0, l, k}^{0,5}$ |
| Shear | $f_{v, g, k}$ | $=0,32 f_{t, 0, l, k}^{0.8}$ |
| Modulus of elasticity | $E_{0, g, \text { mean }}$ | $=1,05 E_{0, l, \text { mean }}$ |
|  | $E_{0, g, 05}$ | $=0,85 E_{0, l, \text { mean }}$ |
|  | $E_{90, g, \text { mean }}$ | $=0,035 E_{0, l, \text { mean }}$ |
| Shear modulus | $G_{g, \text { mean }}$ | $=0,065 E_{0, l, \text { mean }}$ |


| Density |
| :--- |$\rho_{g, k} \quad=1,10 \rho_{l, k}$

The effect of member size on strength may be taken into account.
For rectangular glued laminated timber, the reference depth in bending or width in tension is 600 mm . For depths in bending or widths in tension of glued laminated timber less than 600 mm the characteristic values for $f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{k}}$ may be increased by the factor $k_{\mathrm{h}}$, given by
$k_{\mathrm{h}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{600}{h}\right)^{0,1} \\ 1,1\end{array}\right.$
where $h$ is the depth for bending members or width for tensile members, in mm.
Large finger joints complying with the requirements of ENV 387 shall not be used for products to be installed in service class 3, where the direction of grain changes at the joint.

The effect of member size on the tensile strength perpendicular to the grain shall be taken into account.

### 3.4 Laminated veneer lumber (LVL)

LVL structural members shall comply with EN 14374.
For rectangular LVL with the grain of all veneers running essentially in one direction, the effect of member size on bending and tensile strength shall be taken into account.

The reference depth in bending is 300 mm . For depths in bending not equal to 300 mm the characteristic value for $f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}$ should be multiplied by the factor $k_{\mathrm{h}}$, given by
$k_{\mathrm{h}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{300}{h}\right)^{s} \\ 1,2\end{array}\right.$
where:
$h$ is the depth of the member, in mm;
$s$ is the size effect exponent, see below.
The reference length in tension is 3000 mm . For lengths in tension not equal to 3000 mm the characteristic value for $f_{t, 0, k}$ should be multiplied by the factor $k_{\ell}$ given by
$k_{\ell}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}\left(\frac{3000}{\ell}\right)^{s / 2} \\ 1,1\end{array}\right.$
where $\ell$ is the length, in mm .
The size effect exponent $s$ for LVL shall be taken as declared in accordance with EN 14374.
Large finger joints complying with the requirements of ENV 387 shall not be used for products to be installed in service class 3, where the direction of grain changes at the joint.

For LVL with the grain of all veneers running essentially in one direction, the effect of member size on the tensile strength perpendicular to the grain shall be taken into account.

### 3.5 Wood-based panels

Wood-based panels shall comply with EN 13986 and LVL used as panels shall comply with EN 14279.

The use of softboards according to EN 622-4 should be restricted to wind bracing and should be designed by testing.

### 3.6 Adhesives

Adhesives for structural purposes shall produce joints of such strength and durability that the integrity of the bond is maintained in the assigned service class throughout the expected life of the structure.

Adhesives which comply with Type I specification as defined in EN 301 may be used in all service classes.

Adhesives which comply with Type II specification as defined in EN 301 should only be used in service classes 1 or 2 and not under prolonged exposure to temperatures in excess of $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

### 3.7 Metal fasteners

Metal fasteners shall comply with EN 14592 and metal connectors shall comply with EN 14545.

Table 3.4 Strength classes and characteristic values according to EN 338

|  |  | Coniferous species and Poplar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Deciduous species |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | C14 | C16 | C18 | C20 | C22 | C24 | C27 | C30 | C35 | C40 | C45 | C50 | D30 | D35 | D40 | D50 | D60 | D70 |
| Strength properties in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Bending | $f_{\text {m,k }}$ | 14 | 16 | 18 | 20 | 22 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 45 | 50 | 30 | 35 | 40 | 50 | 60 | 70 |
| Tension parallel to grain | $\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{k}}$ | 8 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 16 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 27 | 30 | 18 | 21 | 24 | 30 | 36 | 42 |
| Tension perpendicular to grain | $\mathrm{f}_{\text {t, } 90, \mathrm{k}}$ | 0,4 | 0,5 | 0,5 | 0,5 | 0,5 | 0,5 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 | 0,6 |
| Compression parallel to grain | $f_{\text {c, }, \mathrm{k}}$ | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 29 | 23 | 25 | 26 | 29 | 32 | 34 |
| Compression perpendicular to grain | $f_{\text {c, } 90, \mathrm{k}}$ | 2,0 | 2,2 | 2,2 | 2,3 | 2,4 | 2,5 | 2,6 | 2,7 | 2,8 | 2,9 | 3,1 | 3,2 | 8,0 | 8,4 | 8,8 | 9,7 | 10,5 | 13,5 |
| Shear | $f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{k}}$ | 1,7 | 1,8 | 2,0 | 2,2 | 2,4 | 2,5 | 2,8 | 3,0 | 3,4 | 3,8 | 3,8 | 3,8 | 3,0 | 3,4 | 3,8 | 4,6 | 5,3 | 6,0 |
| Stiffness properties in $\mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean value of modulus of elasticity parallel to grain | $E_{0, \text { mean }}$ | 7 | 8 | 9 | 9,5 | 10 | 11 | 11,5 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 14 | 17 | 20 |
| $5 \%$ value of modulus of elasticity parallel to grain | $E_{0,05}$ | 4,7 | 5,4 | 6,0 | 6,4 | 6,7 | 7,4 | 7,7 | 8,0 | 8,7 | 9,4 | 10,0 | 10,7 | 8,0 | 8,7 | 9,4 | 11,8 | 14,3 | 16,8 |
| Mean value of modulus of elasticity pependicular to grain | $E_{90, \text { mean }}$ | 0,23 | 0,27 | 0,30 | 0,32 | 0,33 | 0,37 | 0,38 | 0,40 | 0,43 | 0,47 | 0,50 | 0,53 | 0,64 | 0,69 | 0,75 | 0,93 | 1,13 | 1,33 |
| Mean value of shear modulus | $G_{\text {mean }}$ | 0,44 | 0,5 | 0,56 | 0,59 | 0,63 | 0,69 | 0,72 | 0,75 | 0,81 | 0,88 | 0,94 | 1,00 | 0,60 | 0,65 | 0,70 | 0,88 | 1,06 | 1,25 |
| Density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Density | $\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ | 290 | 310 | 320 | 330 | 340 | 350 | 370 | 380 | 400 | 420 | 440 | 460 | 530 | 560 | 590 | 650 | 700 | 900 |
| Mean value of density | $\rho_{\text {mean }}$ | 350 | 370 | 380 | 390 | 410 | 420 | 450 | 460 | 480 | 500 | 520 | 550 | 640 | 670 | 700 | 780 | 840 | 1080 |

## 4 Wood adhesives

At present there is one established EN-standard for classification of structural wood adhesives, namely EN 301, "Adhesives, phenolic and aminoplastic, for load bearing timber structures: Classification and performance requirements". The corresponding test standard is EN 302, "Adhesives for load-bearing timber structures - Test methods. The standards apply to phenolic and aminoplastic adhesives only. These adhesives are classified as:

- type I-adhesives, which will stand full outdoor exposure, and temperatures above $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$;
- type II-adhesives, which may be used in heated and ventilated buildings, and exterior protected from the weather. They will stand short exposure to the weather, but not prolonged exposure to weather or to temperatures above $50^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

According to EC5 only adhesives complying with EN 301 may be approved at the moment. Current types of structural wood adhesives are listed below.

## Resorcinol formaldehyde (RF) and Phenol-resorcinol formaldehyde (PRF) adhesives

RF's and PRF's are type I adhesives according to EN 301. They are used in laminated beams, fingerjointing of structural members, I-beams, box beams etc., both indoors and outdoors.

## Phenol-formaldehyde adhesives (PF), hot-setting

Hot-setting PF's cannot be classified according to EN 301.

## Phenol-formaldehyde adhesives (PF), cold-setting

Cold-setting PF's are classified according to EN 301, but the current types are likely to be eliminated by the "acid damage test" given in EN 302-3.

## Urea-formaldehyde adhesives (UF)

Only special cold-setting UF's are suitable for structural purposes. In a fire they will tend to delaminate. UF's for structural purposes are classified according to EN 301 as type IIadhesives.

## Melamine-urea formaldehyde adhesives (MUF)

The cold set ones are classified according to EN 301. They are, however, less resistant than the resorcinols, and not suitable for marine purposes. However, MUF's are often preferred for economic reasons, and because of their lighter colour.

## Casein adhesives

Caseins are probably the oldest type of structural adhesive and have been used for industrial glulam production since before 1920. Caseins do not meet the requirements of EN 301 .

## Epoxy adhesives

Epoxy adhesives have very good gapfilling properties. Epoxies have very good strength and durability properties, and the weather resistance for the best ones lies between MUF's and PRF's.

## Two-part polyurethanes

These adhesives have good strength and durability, but experience seems to indicate that they are not weather-resistant, at least not all of them.

## 5 Durability

Timber is susceptible to biological attack whereas metal components may corrode.
Under ideal conditions timber structures can be in use for centuries without significant biological deterioration. However, if conditions are not ideal, many widely used wood species need a preservative treatment to be protected from the biological agencies responsible for timber degradation, mainly fungi and insects.

### 5.1 Resistance to biological organisms and corrosion

Timber and wood-based materials shall either have adequate natural durability in accordance with EN 350-2 for the particular hazard class (defined in EN 335-1, EN 335-2 and EN 335-3), or be given a preservative treatment selected in accordance with EN 351-1 and EN 460.

NOTE 1: Preservative treatment may affect the strength and stiffness properties.
NOTE 2: Rules for specification of preservation treatments are given in EN 350-2 and EN 335.

Metal fasteners and other structural connections shall, where necessary, either be inherently corrosion-resistant or be protected against corrosion.

Examples of minimum corrosion protection or material specifications for different service classes are given in Table 5.1.

Table 5.1 Examples of minimum specifications for material protection against corrosion for fasteners (related to ISO 2081)

| Fastener | Service Class ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Nails and screws with $d \leq 4 \mathrm{~mm}$ | None | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 25 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ |
| Bolts, dowels, nails and screws with $d>$ 4 mm | None | None | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 25 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ |
| Staples | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | Stainless steel |
| Punched metal plate fasteners and steel plates up to 3 mm thickness | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | Stainless steel |
| Steel plates from 3 mm up to 5 mm in thickness | None | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 25 \mathrm{c}^{\text {a }}$ |
| Steel plates over 5 mm thickness | None | None | $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 25 \mathrm{c}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ If hot dip zinc coating is used, $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 12 \mathrm{c}$ should be replaced by Z 275 and $\mathrm{Fe} / \mathrm{Zn} 25 \mathrm{c}$ by Z350 in accordance with EN 10147 |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ For especially corrosive conditions con coatings or stainless steel. | eration sh | be given | vier hot |

### 5.2 Biological attack

The two main biological agencies responsible for timber degradation are fungi and insects although in specific situations, timber can also be attacked by marine borers.

## Fungal attack

This occurs in timber which has a high moisture content, generally between $20 \%$ and $30 \%$.

## Insect attack

Insect attack is encouraged by warm conditions which favour their development and reproduction.

### 5.3 Classification of hazard conditions

The levels of exposure to moisture are defined differently in EC5 and EN 335-I "Durability of wood and wood-based products - Definition of hazard (use) classes of biological attack - Part 1: General". EC5 provides for three service classes relating to the variation of timber performance with moisture content, see Chapter 2.

In EN 335-1, five hazard (use) classes are defined with respect to the risk of biological attacks:

Hazard (use) class 1, situation in which timber or wood-based product is under cover, fully protected from the weather and not exposed to wetting;

Hazard (use) class 2, situation in which timber or wood-based product is under cover and fully protected from the weather but where high environmental humidity can lead to occasional but not persistent wetting;

Hazard (use) class 3, situation in which timber or wood-based product is not covered and not in contact with the ground. It is either continually exposed to the weather or is protected from the weather but subject to frequent wetting;

Hazard (use) class 4, situation in which timber or wood-based product is in contact with the ground or fresh water and thus is permanently exposed to wetting;

Hazard (use) class 5, situation in which timber or wood-based product is permanently exposed to salt water.

### 5.4 Prevention of fungal attack

It is possible to reduce the risk through careful construction details, especially to reduce timber moisture content.

### 5.5 Prevention of insect attack

Initially, the natural durability of the selected timber species should be established with respect to the particular insect species to which it may be exposed. It is also necessary to establish whether the particular insect is present in the region in which the timber to be used.

## 6 Ultimate limit states

Timber structures are generally analysed using elastic structural analysis techniques the world over. This is quite appropriate for the serviceability limit state (which is fairly representative of the performance of the structure from year to year). Even the ultimate limit state (which models the failure of structural element under an extreme loading condition) can be reasonably modelled using an elastic analysis.

### 6.1 Design of cross-sections subjected to stress in one principal direction

This section deals with the design of simple members in a single action.

### 6.1.1 Assumptions

Section 6.1 applies to straight solid timber, glued laminated timber or wood-based structural products of constant cross-section, whose grain runs essentially parallel to the length of the member. The member is assumed to be subjected to stresses in the direction of only one of its principal axes (see Figure 6.1).


Key:
(1) direction of grain

Figure 6.1 Member Axes

### 6.1.2 Tension parallel to the grain

Tension members generally have a uniform tension field throughout the length of the member, and the entire cross section, which means that any corner at any point on the member has the potential to be a critical location. However a bending member under uniformly distributed loading will have a bending moment diagram that varies from zero at each end to the maximum at the centre. The critical locations for tension are near to the centre, and only one half of the beam cross section will have tension, so the volume of the member that is critical for flaws is much less than that for tension members.

The inhomogeneities and other deviations from an ideal orthotropic material, which are typical for structural timber, are often called defects. As just mentioned, these defects will cause a fairly large strength reduction in tension parallel to the grain. For softwood (spruce, fir) typical average value are in the range of $f_{t, 0}=10$ to $35 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$.

In EC5 the characteristic strength values of solid timber are related to a width in tension parallel to the grain of 150 mm . For widths in tension of solid timber less than 150 mm the characteristic values may be increased by a factor $k_{h}$.

For glulam the reference width is 600 mm and, analogously, for widths smaller than 600 mm a factor $k_{h}$ should be applied.

For long boards under uniaxial tension due consideration should be taken both of the size effect (length effect) and of the lengthwise variation of the tensile strength.

The following expression shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design tensile stress along the grain;
$f_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \quad$ is the design tensile strength along the grain.

### 6.1.3 Tension perpendicular to the grain

The lowest strength for timber is in tension perpendicular to the grain.
In timber members tensile stresses perpendicular to the grain should be avoided or kept as low as possible.
The effect of member size shall be taken into account.

### 6.1.4 Compression parallel to the grain

At the ultimate limit state, the compression member will have achieved its compressive capacity whether limited by material crushing (see Figure 6.2) or buckling. In contrast to the brittle, explosive failure of tension members, the compression failure is quiet and gradual. Buckling is quite silent as it is not associated with material failure at all, and crushing is accompanied by a "crunching or crackling" sound. However, in spite of the silence of failure, any structural failure can lead to loss or at least partial loss of the structural system and place a risk on human life. Both modes of failure are just as serious as the more dramatic tensile and bending failures.


Figure 6.2 Failure mechanisms in compression
The strength in compression parallel to the grain will be somewhat reduced by the growth defects to $f_{c, 0}=25$ to $40 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$. The reduction in strength depends on the testing method. If the specimen is compressed between two stiff end plates, which are restrained from rotation, a local failure of some fibres will lead to stress redistribution over the rest of the cross section. This will result in a higher average stress than if the specimen had been loaded via a hinged endplate.

The following expression shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \quad$ is the design compressive stress along the grain;
$f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \quad$ is the design compressive strength along the grain.
NOTE: Rules for the instability of members are given in 6.3 .

### 6.1.5 Compression perpendicular to the grain

Bearing capacity either over a support or under a load plate is a function of the crushing strength of the wood fibre. Where the bearing capacity is exceeded, local crushing occurs. This type of failure is quite ductile, but in some cases, fibre damage in the region of a support may cause flexural failure in that location.

The bearing capacity is a complex function of the bearing area. Where the bearing does not completely cover the area of timber, testing has shown a considerable increase in bearing capacity.
This is known as an "edge effect". Figure 6.3 shows bearing failure under heavily loaded beams. The influence of growth defects on the strength perpendicular to the grain is small.


Figure 6.3 Bearing effects at supports and points of concentrated load application
The following expression shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{c}, 90} f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design compressive stress in the contact area perpendicular to the grain;
$f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design compressive strength perpendicular to the grain;
$k_{\mathrm{c}, 90} \quad$ is a factor taking into account the load configuration, possibility of splitting and degree of compressive deformation.

The value of $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ should be taken as 1,0 , unless the member arrangements in the following paragraphs apply. In these cases the higher value of $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ specified may be taken, up to a limiting value of $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}=4,0$.

NOTE: When a higher value of $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ is used, and contact extends over the full member width $b$, the resulting compressive deformation at the ultimate limit state will be approximately $10 \%$ of the member depth.

For a beam member resting on supports (see Figure 6.4), the factor $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ should be calculated from the following expressions:

- When the distance from the edge of a support to the end of a beam $a, \leq h / 3$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}=\left(2,38-\frac{\ell}{250}\right)\left(1+\frac{h}{12 \ell}\right) \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

- At internal supports:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}=\left(2,38-\frac{\ell}{250}\right)\left(1+\frac{h}{6 \ell}\right) \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\ell$ is the contact length in mm;
$h$ is member depth in mm.


Figure 6.4 Beam on supports
For a member with a depth $h \leq 2,5 b$ where a concentrated force with contact over the full width $b$ of the member is applied to one face directly over a continuous or discrete support on the opposite face, see Figure 6.5, the factor $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}=\left(2,38-\frac{\ell}{250}\right)\left(\frac{\ell_{\mathrm{ef}}}{\ell}\right)^{0,5} \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\ell_{\mathrm{ef}}$ is the effective length of distribution, in mm;
$\ell \quad$ is the contact length, see Figure 6.5 , in mm.


Figure 6.5 Determination of effective lengths for a member with $\mathbf{h} / \mathrm{b} \leq \mathbf{2 , 5}$, (a) and (b) continuous support, (c) discrete supports

The effective length of distribution $\ell_{\text {ef }}$ should be determined from a stress dispersal line with a vertical inclination of $1: 3$ over the depth $h$, but curtailed by a distance of $a / 2$ from any end, or a distance of $\ell_{1} / 4$ from any adjacent compressed area, see Figure 6.5a and b.
For the particular positions of forces below, the effective length is given by:

- for loads adjacent to the end of the member, see Figure 6.5a
$\ell_{\text {ef }}=\ell+\frac{h}{3}$
- when the distance from the edge of a concentrated load to the end of the member $a$,
$\geq \frac{2}{3} h$,see Figure 6.5b

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\mathrm{ef}}=\ell+\frac{2 h}{3} \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the depth of the member or 40 mm , whichever is the largest.
For members on discrete supports, provided that $a \geq h$ and $\ell_{1} \geq 2 h$, see Figure 6.5c, the effective length should be calculated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\mathrm{ef}}=0,5\left(\ell+\ell_{\mathrm{s}}+\frac{2 h}{3}\right) \tag{6.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is the depth of the member or 40 mm , whichever is the largest.

For a member with a depth $h>2,5 b$ loaded with a concentrated compressive force on two opposite sides as shown in Figure 6.6b, or with a concentrated compressive force on one side and a continuous support on the other, see Figure 6.6a, the factor $k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}$ should be calculated according to expression (6.10), provided that the following conditions are fulfilled:

- the applied compressive force occurs over the full member width $b$;
- the contact length $\ell$ is less than the greater of $h$ or 100 mm :
$k_{\mathrm{c}, 90}=\frac{\ell_{\mathrm{ef}}}{\ell}$
where:
$\ell \quad$ is the contact length according to Figure 6.6;
$\ell_{\text {ef }} \quad$ is the effective length of distribution according to Figure 6.6
The effective length of distribution should not extend by more than $\ell$ beyond either edge of the contact length.

For members whose depth varies linearly over the support (e.g. bottom chords of trusses at the heel joint), the depth $h$ should be taken as the member depth at the centreline of the support, and the effective length $\ell_{\text {ef }}$ should be taken as equal to the contact length $\ell$.


Figure 6.6 Determination of effective lengths for a member with $\boldsymbol{h} / \boldsymbol{b} \boldsymbol{> 2 , 5}$ on (a) a continuous support, (b) discrete supports

### 6.1.6 Bending

The most common use of a beam is to resist loads by bending about its major principal axis. However, the introduction of forces, which are not in the plane of bending, on the beam results in bi-axial bending (i.e. bending about both the major and minor principal axes). Additionally, the introduction of axial loads in tension or compression results in a further combined stress effect. For beams which are subjected to bi-axial bending, the following conditions both need to be satisfied:

The following expressions shall be satisfied:
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}} \leq 1$
$k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}} \leq 1$
where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{d}}$ are the design bending stresses about the principal axes as shown in Figure 6.1;
$f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ are the corresponding design bending strengths.
NOTE: The factor $k_{\mathrm{m}}$ makes allowance for re-distribution of stresses and the effect of inhomogeneities of the material in a cross-section.

The value of the factor $k_{\mathrm{m}}$ should be taken as follows:
For solid timber, glued laminated timber and LVL:
for rectangular sections: $k_{\mathrm{m}}=0,7$
for other cross-sections: $k_{\mathrm{m}}=1,0$
For other wood-based structural products, for all cross-sections: $k_{\mathrm{m}}=1,0$.
A check shall also be made of the instability condition (see 6.3).

### 6.1.7 Shear

When bending is produced by transverse loading, shear stresses will be present according to the theory of elasticity. Shear stresses transverse to the beam axis will always be accompanied by equal shear stresses parallel to the beam axis.

For shear with a stress component parallel to the grain, see Figure 6.7(a), as well as for shear with both stress components perpendicular to the grain, see Figure 6.7(b), the following expression shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\tau_{\mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design shear stress;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the design shear strength for the actual condition.

NOTE: The shear strength for rolling shear is approximately equal to twice the tension strength perpendicular to grain.

(a)

(b)

Figure 6.7(a) Member with a shear stress component parallel to the grain (b) Member with both stress components perpendicular to the grain (rolling shear)

At supports, the contribution to the total shear force of a concentrated load $F$ acting on the top side of the beam and within a distance $h$ or $h_{\text {ef }}$ from the edge of the support may be disregarded (see Figure 6.8). For beams with a notch at the support this reduction in the shear force applies only when the notch is on the opposite side to the support.


Figure 6.8 Conditions at a support, for which the concentrated force $F$ may be disregarded in the calculation of the shear force

### 6.1.8 Torsion

Torsional stresses are introduced when the applied load tends to twist a member. This will occur when a beam supports a load which is applied eccentric to the principal cross sectional axis. A transmission mast may be subjected to an eccentric horizontal load, resulting in a combination of shear and torsion.

The following expression shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\text {tor,d }} \leq k_{\text {shape }} f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$k_{\text {shape }}=\left\{\begin{array}{lr}1,2 & \text { for a circular cross section } \\ \min \left\{\begin{array}{lr}1+0,15 \frac{h}{b} & \text { for a rectangular cross section } \\ 2,0 & \end{array}\right.\end{array}\right.$
where:
$\tau_{\text {tor,d }} \quad$ is the design torsional stress;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design shear strength;
$k_{\text {shape }}$ is a factor depending on the shape of the cross-section;
$h \quad$ is the larger cross-sectional dimension;
$b \quad$ is the smaller cross-sectional dimension.

### 6.2 Design of cross-sections subjected to combined stresses

While the design of many members is to resist a single action such as bending, tension or compression, there are many cases in which members are subjected to two of these additions simultaneously.

### 6.2.1 Assumptions

Section 6.2 applies to straight solid timber, glued laminated timber or wood-based structural products of constant cross-section, whose grain runs essentially parallel to the length of the member. The member is assumed to be subjected to stresses from combined actions or to stresses acting in two or three of its principal axes.

### 6.2.2 Compression stresses at an angle to the grain

Interaction of compressive stresses in two or more directions shall be taken into account.

The compressive stresses at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain, (see Figure 6.9), should satisfy the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \alpha, \mathrm{~d}} \leq \frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}, 90} f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \alpha, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the compressive stress at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain;
$k_{\mathrm{c}, 90} \quad$ is a factor given in 6.1.5 taking into account the effect of any of stresses perpendicular to the grain.


Figure 6.9 Compressive stresses at an angle to the grain

### 6.2.3 Combined bending and axial tension

The following expressions shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1 \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{d}}} \leq 1$
The values of $k_{\mathrm{m}}$ given in 6.1.6 apply.

### 6.2.4 Combined bending and axial compression

The following expressions shall be satisfied:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1  \tag{6.19}\\
& \left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}\right)^{2}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1 \tag{6.20}
\end{align*}
$$

The values of $k_{\mathrm{m}}$ given in 6.1.6 apply.
NOTE: To check the instability condition, a method is given in 6.3.

### 6.3 Stability of members

When a slender column is loaded axially, there exists a tendency for it to deflect sideways (see Figure 6.10). This type of instability is called flexural buckling. The strength of slender members depends not only on the strength of the material but also on the stiffness, in the case of timber columns mainly on the bending stiffness. Therefore, apart from the compression and bending strength, the modulus of elasticity is an important material property influencing the load-bearing capacity of slender columns. The additional bending stresses caused by lateral deflections are taken into account in a stability design.
When designing beams, the prime concern is to provide adequate load carrying capacity and stiffness against bending about its major principal axis, usually in the vertical plane. This leads to a cross-sectional shape in which the stiffness in the vertical plane is often much greater than that in the horizontal plane. Whenever a slender structural element is loaded in its stiff plane (axially in the case of the column) there is a tendency for it to fail by buckling in a more flexible plane (by deflecting sideways in the case of the column). The response of a slender simply supported beam, subjected to bending moments in the vertical plane; is termed lateral-torsional buckling as it involves both lateral deflection and twisting (see Figure 6.11).


Figure 6.10 Two-hinged column buckling in compression


Figure 6.11 Lateral-torsional buckling of simply supported beam

### 6.3.1 Assumptions

The bending stresses due to initial curvature, eccentricities and induced deflection shall be taken into account, in addition to those due to any lateral load.

Column stability and lateral torsional stability shall be verified using the characteristic properties, e.g. $E_{0,05}$

The stability of columns subjected to either compression or combined compression and bending should be verified in accordance with 6.3.2.

The lateral torsional stability of beams subjected to either bending or combined bending and compression should be verified in accordance with 6.3.3.
6.3.2 Columns subjected to either compression or combined compression and bending The relative slenderness ratios should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{y}}=\frac{\lambda_{\mathrm{y}}}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{k}}}{E_{0,05}}} \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{z}}=\frac{\lambda}{\pi} \sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{k}}}{E_{0,05}}} \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\lambda_{y}$ and $\lambda_{\text {rel, }, ~}$ are slenderness ratios corresponding to bending about the $y$-axis (deflection in the $z$-direction);
$\lambda_{z}$ and $\lambda_{\text {rel, } z}$ are slenderness ratios corresponding to bending about the $z$-axis;
$E_{0,05} \quad$ is the fifth percentile value of the modulus of elasticity parallel to the grain.
Where both $\lambda_{\text {rel, }} \leq 0,3$ and $\lambda_{\text {rel, } y} \leq 0,3$ the stresses should satisfy the expressions (6.19) and (6.20) in 6.2.4.

In all other cases the stresses, which will be increased due to deflection, should satisfy the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}} f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}+k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1  \tag{6.23}\\
& \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{z}}} f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.24}
\end{align*} k_{\mathrm{m}} \frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{y}, \mathrm{~d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{z}, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 12
$$

where the symbols are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{y}}=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{y}}+\sqrt{k_{\mathrm{y}}^{2}-\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{y}}^{2}}}  \tag{6.25}\\
& k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{z}}=\frac{1}{k_{\mathrm{z}}+\sqrt{k_{\mathrm{z}}^{2}-\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{z}}^{2}}}  \tag{6.26}\\
& k_{\mathrm{y}}=0,5\left(1+\beta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{y}}-0,3\right)+\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{y}}^{2}\right)  \tag{6.27}\\
& k_{\mathrm{z}}=0,5\left(1+\beta_{\mathrm{c}}\left(\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{z}}-0,3\right)+\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{z}}^{2}\right) \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\beta_{\mathrm{c}}$ is a factor for members within the straightness limits:

$$
\beta_{c}= \begin{cases}0,2 & \text { for solid timber }  \tag{6.29}\\ 0,1 & \text { for glued laminated timber and LVL }\end{cases}
$$

$k_{\mathrm{m}}$ as given in 6.1.6.

### 6.3.3 Beams subjected to either bending or combined bending and compression

Lateral torsional stability shall be verified both in the case where only a moment $M_{\mathrm{y}}$ exists about the strong axis $y$ and where a combination of moment $M_{\mathrm{y}}$ and compressive force $N_{\mathrm{c}}$ exists.

The relative slenderness for bending should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}}=\sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{crit}}}} \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \text { crit }}$ is the critical bending stress calculated according to the classical theory of stability, using 5 -percentile stiffness values.

The critical bending stress should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{crit}}=\frac{M_{\mathrm{y}, \text { crit }}}{W_{\mathrm{y}}}=\frac{\pi \sqrt{E_{0,05} I_{\mathrm{z}} G_{0,05} I_{\mathrm{tor}}}}{\ell_{\mathrm{ef}} W_{\mathrm{y}}} \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$E_{0,05}$ is the fifth percentile value of modulus of elasticity parallel to grain;
$G_{0,05}$ is the fifth percentile value of shear modulus parallel to grain;
$I_{Z} \quad$ is the second moment of area about the weak axis $z$.
$I_{\text {tor }} \quad$ is the torsional moment of inertia;
$\ell_{\mathrm{ef}} \quad$ is the effective length of the beam, depending on the support conditions and the load configuration, acccording to Table 6.1;
$W_{\mathrm{y}} \quad$ is the section modulus about the strong axis $y$.
For softwood with solid rectangular cross-section, $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \text { crit }}$ should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{crit}}=\frac{0,78 b^{2}}{h \ell_{\mathrm{ef}}} E_{0,05} \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$b$ is the width of the beam;
$h$ is the depth of the beam.

In the case where only a moment $M_{y}$ exists about the strong axis $y$, the stresses should satisfy the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{crit}} f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design bending stress;
$f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design bending strength;
$k_{\text {crit }} \quad$ is a factor which takes into account the reduced bending strength due to lateral buckling.

Table 6.1 Effective length as a ratio of the span

| Beam type | Loading type | $\ell_{\text {er } \ell} / \ell^{\text {a }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Simply |  |  |
| supported | Constant moment | 1,0 |
|  | Uniformly distributed load | 0,9 |
|  | Concentrated force at the middle of the | 0,8 |
| span |  |  |
| Cantilever | Uniformly distributed load | 0,5 |
|  | Concentrated force at the free end | 0,8 |

${ }^{a}$ The ratio between the effective length $\ell_{\text {ef }}$ and the span $\ell$ is valid for a beam with torsionally restrained supports and loaded at the centre of gravity. If the load is applied at the compression edge of the beam, $\ell_{\text {ef }}$ should be increased by $2 h$ and may be decreased by $0,5 h$ for a load at the tension edge of the beam.

For beams with an initial lateral deviation from straightness within the limits $k_{\text {crit }}$ may be determined from expression (6.34)

$$
k_{\mathrm{crit}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } \lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}} \leq 0,75  \tag{6.34}\\ 1,56-0,75 \lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}} & \text { for } 0,75<\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}} \leq 1,4 \\ \frac{1}{\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}}^{2}} & \text { for } 1,4<\lambda_{\mathrm{rel}, \mathrm{~m}}\end{cases}
$$

The factor $k_{\text {crit }}$ may be taken as 1,0 for a beam where lateral displacement of its compressive edge is prevented throughout its length and where torsional rotation is prevented at its supports.

In the case where a combination of moment $M_{\mathrm{y}}$ about the strong axis $y$ and compressive force $N_{\mathrm{c}}$ exists, the stresses should satisfy the following expression:
$\left(\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}}{k_{\mathrm{crit}} f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}}\right)^{2}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{z}} f_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}} \leq 1$
where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design bending stress;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design compressive stress;
$f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design compressive strength parallel to grain;
$k_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Z}} \quad$ is given by expression (6.26).
6.4 Design of cross-sections in members with varying cross-section or curved shape Due to the range of sizes, lengths and shapes available, glulam is frequently used for different beams. It is rare for sawn timber to be used as tapered or curved beams because of the difficulty obtaining large sized cross section material and difficulties in bending it about its major axis to give a curved longitudinal profile.

### 6.4.1 Assumptions

The effects of combined axial force and bending moment shall be taken into account.
The relevant parts of 6.2 and 6.3 should be verified.

The stress at a cross-section from an axial force may be calculated from

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{N}{A} \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{N}} \quad$ is the axial stress;
$N$ is the axial force;
$A$ is the area of the cross-section.

### 6.4.2 Single tapered beams

The influence of the taper on the bending stresses parallel to the surface shall be taken into account.
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Figure 6.12 Single tapered beam
The design bending stresses, $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d} \mathrm{d}}$ (see Figure 6.12 ) may be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \alpha, \mathrm{~d}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{o}, \mathrm{~d}}=\frac{6 M_{\mathrm{d}}}{b h^{2}} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the outermost fibre of the tapered edge, the stresses should satisfy the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{a}} f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the design bending stress at an angle to grain;
$f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the design bending strength;
$k_{\mathrm{m}, \alpha}$ should be calculated as:
For tensile stresses parallel to the tapered edge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{m}, \alpha}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}}}{0,75 f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~d}}} \tan \alpha\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)^{2}}} \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

For compressive stresses parallel to the tapered edge:

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{m}, \alpha}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}}}{1,5 f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~d}}} \tan \alpha\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}}}{f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)^{2}}} \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.4.3 Double tapered, curved and pitched cambered beams

This section applies only to glued laminated timber and LVL.
The requirements of 6.4.2 apply to the parts of the beam which have a single taper.

In the apex zone (see Figure 6.13), the bending stresses should satisfy the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{r}} f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ takes into account the strength reduction due to bending of the laminates during production.

NOTE: In curved and and pitched cambered beams the apex zone extends over the curved part of the beam.

The apex bending stress should be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{~d}}=k_{\ell} \frac{6 M_{\mathrm{ap,d}}}{b h_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2}} \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

with:
$k_{\ell}=k_{1}+k_{2}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{ap}}}{r}\right)+k_{3}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{ap}}}{r}\right)^{2}+k_{4}\left(\frac{h_{\mathrm{ap}}}{r}\right)^{3}$
$k_{1}=1+1,4 \tan \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}+5,4 \tan ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}$
$k_{2}=0,35-8 \tan \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}$
$k_{3}=0,6+8,3 \tan \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}-7,8 \tan ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}$
$k_{4}=6 \tan ^{2} \alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}$
$r=r_{\text {in }}+0,5 h_{\text {ap }}$
where:
$M_{\mathrm{ap}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the design moment at the apex;
$h_{\mathrm{ap}} \quad$ is the depth of the beam at the apex, see Figure 6.13;
$b$ is the width of the beam;
$r_{\text {in }}$ is the inner radius, see Figure 6.13;
$\alpha_{\mathrm{ap}}$ is the angle of the taper in the middle of the apex zone, see Figure 6.13.
For double tapered beams $k_{\mathrm{r}}=1,0$. For curved and pitched cambered beams $k_{\mathrm{r}}$ should be taken as:
$k_{\mathrm{r}}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { for } \frac{r_{\text {in }}}{t} \geq 240 \\ 0,76+0,001 \frac{r_{\text {in }}}{t} & \text { for } \frac{r_{\text {in }}}{t}<240\end{cases}$
where
$r_{\text {in }}$ is the inner radius, see Figure 6.13;
$t \quad$ is the lamination thickness.
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NOTE: In curved and pitched cambered beams the apex zone extends over the curved parts of the beam.

Figure 6.13 Double tapered (a), curved (b) and pitched cambered (c) beams with the fibre direction parallel to the lower edge of the beam

In the apex zone the greatest tensile stress perpendicular to the grain, $\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$, should satisfy the following expression:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{dis}} k_{\mathrm{vol}} f_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

with
$k_{\text {vol }}= \begin{cases}1,0 & \text { for solid timber } \\ \left(\frac{V_{0}}{V}\right)^{0,2} & \text { for glued laminated timber and LVL with } \\ \text { all veneers parallel to the beam axis }\end{cases}$
$k_{\text {dis }}= \begin{cases}1,4 & \text { for double tapered and curved beams } \\ 1,7 & \text { for pitched cambered beams }\end{cases}$
where:
$k_{\text {dis }} \quad$ is a factor which takes into account the effect of the stress distribution in the apex zone; $k_{\mathrm{vol}} \quad$ is a volume factor;
$f_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design tensile strength perpendicular to the grain;
$V_{0} \quad$ is the reference volume of $0,01 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$;
$V \quad$ is the stressed volume of the apex zone, in $\mathrm{m}^{3}$, (see Figure 6.13) and should not be taken greater than $2 V_{\mathrm{b}} / 3$, where $V_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the total volume of the beam.

For combined tension perpendicular to grain and shear the following expression shall be satisfied:
$\frac{\tau_{\mathrm{d}}}{f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}}+\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{dis}} k_{\mathrm{vol}} f_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1$
where:
$\tau_{\mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the design shear stress;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the design shear strength;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design tensile stress perpendicular to grain;
$k_{\text {dis }}$ and $k_{\text {vol }}$ are given in expressions (6.51) and (6.52).
The greatest tensile stress perpendicular to the grain due to the bending moment should be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}=k_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{6 M_{\mathrm{ap}, \mathrm{~d}}}{b h_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2}} \tag{6.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, as an alternative to expression (6.54), as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{t}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}=k_{\mathrm{p}} \frac{6 M_{\mathrm{ap}, \mathrm{~d}}}{b h_{\mathrm{ap}}^{2}}-0,6 \frac{p_{\mathrm{d}}}{b} \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$p_{\mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the uniformly distributed load acting on the top of the beam over the apex area;
$b$ is the width of the beam;
$M_{\text {ap,d }}$ is the design moment at apex resulting in tensile stresses parallel to the inner curved edge;
with:
$k_{\mathrm{p}}=k_{5}+k_{6}\left(\frac{h_{\text {ap }}}{r}\right)+k_{7}\left(\frac{h_{\text {ap }}}{r}\right)^{2}$
$k_{5}=0,2 \tan \alpha_{\text {ap }}$
$k_{6}=0,25-1,5 \tan \alpha_{\text {ap }}+2,6 \tan ^{2} \alpha_{\text {ap }}$
$k_{7}=2,1 \tan \alpha_{\text {ap }}-4 \tan ^{2} \alpha_{\text {ap }}$

### 6.5. Notched members

It is not uncommon for the ends of beams to be notched at the bottom to increase clearance or to bring the top surface of a particular beam, level with other beams or girdes. Notches usually create stress concentrations in the region of the re-entrant cornes.

### 6.5.1 Assumptions

The effects of stress concentrations at the notch shall be taken into account in the strength verification of members.

The effect of stress concentrations may be disregarded in the following cases:

- tension or compression parallel to the grain;
- bending with tensile stresses at the notch if the taper is not steeper than $1: i=1: 10$, that is $i$ $\geq 10$, see Figure 6.14a;
- bending with compressive stresses at the notch, see Figure 6.14b.


Figure 6.14 Bending at a notch: a) with tensile stresses at the notch, b) with compressive stresses at the notch

### 6.5.2 Beams with a notch at the support

For beams with rectangular cross-sections and where grain runs essentially parallel to the length of the member, the shear stresses at the notched support should be calculated using the effective (reduced) depth $h_{\text {ef }}$ (see Figure 6.15).

It should be verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{1,5 V}{b h_{\mathrm{ef}}} \leq k_{\mathrm{v}} f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k_{\mathrm{v}}$ is a reduction factor defined as follows:

- For beams notched at the opposite side to the support (see Figure 6.15b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{\mathrm{v}}=1,0 \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For beams notched on the same side as the support (see Figure 6.15a)

$$
k_{\mathrm{v}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{c}
1  \tag{6.62}\\
\frac{k_{\mathrm{n}}\left(1+\frac{1,1 i^{1,5}}{\sqrt{h}}\right)}{\sqrt{h}\left(\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)}+0,8 \frac{x}{h} \sqrt{\frac{1}{\alpha}-\alpha^{2}}\right)}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where:
$i$ is the notch inclination (see Figure 6.15a);
$h$ is the beam depth in mm;
$x$ is the distance from line of action of the support reaction to the corner of the notch;
$\alpha=\frac{h_{\text {ef }}}{h}$
$k_{\mathrm{n}}= \begin{cases}4,5 & \text { for LVL } \\ 5 & \text { for solid timber } \\ 6,5 & \text { for glued laminated timber }\end{cases}$

(a)

(b)

Figure 6.15 End-notched beams

### 6.6 System strength

When several equally spaced similar members, components or assemblies are laterally connected by a continuous load distribution system, the member strength properties may be multiplied by a system strength factor $k_{\text {sys }}$.

Provided the continuous load-distribution system is capable of transfering the loads from one member to the neighbouring members, the factor $k_{\text {sys }}$ should be 1,1 .

The strength verification of the load distribution system should be carried out assuming the loads are of short-term duration.

NOTE: For roof trusses with a maximum centre to centre distance of $1,2 \mathrm{~m}$ it may be assumed that tiling battens, purlins or panels can transfer the load to the neighbouring trusses provided that these load-distribution members are continuous over at least two spans, and any joints are staggered.

For laminated timber decks or floors the values of $k_{\text {sys }}$ given in Figure 6.16 should be used.


Figure 6.16 System strength factor $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {sys }}$ for laminated deck plates of solid timber or glued laminated members

## 7 Serviceability limit states

The overall performance of structures should satisfy two basic requirements. The first is safety, usually expressed in terms of load bearing capacity, and the second is serviceability, which refers to the ability of the structural system and its elements to perform satisfactorily in normal use.

### 7.1 Joint slip

For joints made with dowel-type fasteners the slip modulus $K_{\text {ser }}$ per shear plane per fastener under service load should be taken from Table 7.1 with $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ and $d$ or $d_{\mathrm{c}}$ in mm . For the definition of $d_{\mathrm{c}}$, see 8.9.

Table 7.1 Values of $K_{\text {ser }}$ for fasteners and connectors in $\mathbf{N} / \mathbf{m m}$ in timber-to-timber and wood-based panel-to-timber connections

| Fastener type | $K_{\text {ser }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| Dowels <br> Bolts with or without clearance ${ }^{\text {a }}$ <br> Screws <br> Nails (with pre-drilling) | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1,5} d / 23$ |
| Nails (without pre-drilling) | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1,5} d^{0,8} / 30$ |
| Staples | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}{ }^{1,5} d^{0,8} / 80$ |
| Split-ring connectors type A according to EN 912 Shear-plate connectors type B according to EN 912 | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}} d_{\mathrm{d}} / 2$ |
| Toothed-plate connectors: <br> - Connectors types C1 to C9 according to EN 912 <br> - Connectors type C10 and C11 according to EN 912 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,5 \rho_{\mathrm{m}} d_{\mathrm{d}} / 4 \\ & \rho_{\mathrm{m}} d_{\mathrm{d}} / 2 \end{aligned}$ |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ The clearance should be added separately to the deformation. |  |

If the mean densities $\rho_{\mathrm{m}, 1}$ and $\rho_{\mathrm{m}, 2}$ of the two jointed wood-based members are different then $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ in the above expressions should be taken as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{\mathrm{m}}=\sqrt{\rho_{\mathrm{m}, 1} \rho_{\mathrm{m}, 2}} \tag{7.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For steel-to-timber or concrete-to-timber connections, $K_{\text {ser }}$ should be based on $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ for the timber member and may be multiplied by 2,0 .

### 7.2 Limiting values for deflections of beams

The fact that variable loads (such as imposed loads on floors and snow loads on roofs) often dominate in timber structures means that the deflection will vary considerably during the lifetime of the structure. This has to be considered in a rational serviceability design

The components of deflection resulting from a combination of actions are shown in Figure 7.1, where the symbols are defined as follows:

- $w_{\mathrm{c}}$ is the precamber (if applied);
- $w_{\text {inst }} \quad$ is the instantaneous deflection;
- $w_{\text {creep }}$ is the creep deflection;
$-w_{\text {fin }} \quad$ is the final deflection;
- $w_{\text {net,fin }}$ is the net final deflection.


Figure 7.1 Components of deflection

The net deflection below a straight line between the supports, $w_{\text {net, fin }}$, should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{\text {net, fin }}=w_{\text {inst }}+w_{\text {creep }}-w_{\mathrm{c}}=w_{\text {fin }}-w_{\mathrm{c}} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

NOTE: The recommended range of limiting values of deflections for beams with span $\ell$ is given in Table 7.2 depending upon the level of deformation deemed to be acceptable.

Table 7.2 Examples of limiting values for deflections of beams

|  | $w_{\text {inst }}$ | $w_{\text {net,fin }}$ | $w_{\text {fin }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Beam on two <br> supports | $\ell / 300$ to $\ell / 500$ | $\ell / 250$ to $\ell / 350$ | $\ell / 150$ to $\ell / 300$ |
| Cantilevering <br> beams | $\ell / 150$ to $\ell / 250$ | $\ell / 125$ to $\ell / 175$ | $\ell / 75$ to $\ell / 150$ |

### 7.3 Vibrations

In general there are many load-response cases where structural vibrations may constitute a state of reduced serviceability. The main concern, however, is with regard to human discomfort. People are in most cases the critical sensor of vibration. Among different dynamic actions, human activity and installed machinery are regarded as the two most important interval sources of vibration in timber-framed buildings. Human activity not only includes footfall from normal walking, but also children's jumping, etc. Two critical load response cases are finally identified:

- Human discomfort from footfall-induced vibrations.
- Human discomfort from machine-induced vibrations.


### 7.3.1 Assumptions

It shall be ensured that the actions which can be reasonably anticipated on a member, component or structure, do not cause vibrations that can impair the function of the structure or cause unacceptable discomfort to the users.

The vibration level should be estimated by measurements or by calculation taking into account the expected stiffness of the member, component or structure and the modal damping ratio.

For floors, unless other values are proven to be more appropriate, a modal damping ratio of $\zeta=0,01$ (i.e. $1 \%$ ) should be assumed.

### 7.3.2 Vibrations from machinery

Vibrations caused by rotating machinery and other operational equipment shall be limited for the unfavourable combinations of permanent load and variable loads that can be expected.

For floors, acceptable levels for continuous vibration should be taken from Figure 5a in Appendix A of ISO 2631-2 with a multiplying factor of 1,0.

## Residential floors

For residential floors with a fundamental frequency less than $8 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(f_{1} \leq 8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ a special investigation should be made.

For residential floors with a fundamental frequency greater than $8 \mathrm{~Hz}\left(f_{1}>8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right)$ the following requirements should be satisfied:
$\frac{w}{F} \leq a \mathrm{~mm} / \mathrm{kN}$
and

$$
\begin{equation*}
v \leq b^{(f, \zeta-1)} \quad \mathrm{m} /\left(\mathrm{Ns}^{2}\right) \tag{7.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$w$ is the maximum instantaneous vertical deflection caused by a vertical concentrated static force $F$ applied at any point on the floor, taking account of load distribution;
$v$ is the unit impulse velocity response, i.e. the maximum initial value of the vertical floor vibration velocity (in $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) caused by an ideal unit impulse ( 1 Ns ) applied at the point of the floor giving maximum response. Components above 40 Hz may be disregarded;
$\zeta$ is the modal damping ratio.
NOTE: The recommended range of limiting values of $a$ and $b$ and the recommended relationship between $a$ and $b$ is given in Figure 7.2.
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Figure 7.2 Recommended range of and relationship between $a$ and $b$

The calculations in should be made under the assumption that the floor is unloaded, i.e., only the mass corresponding to the self-weight of the floor and other permanent actions.

For a rectangular floor with overall dimensions $\ell \times b$, simply supported along all four edges and with timber beams having a span $\ell$, the fundamental frequency $f_{1}$ may approximately be calculated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2 \ell^{2}} \sqrt{\frac{(E I)_{\ell}}{m}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$m \quad$ is the mass per unit area in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$;
$\ell \quad$ is the floor span, in m;
$(E I)_{\ell}$ is the equivalent plate bending stiffness of the floor about an axis perpendicular to the beam direction, in $\mathrm{Nm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$.

For a rectangular floor with overall dimensions $b \times \ell$, simply supported along all four edges, the value $v$ may, as an approximation, be taken as:
$v=\frac{4\left(0,4+0,6 n_{40}\right)}{m b \ell+200}$
where:
$v$ is the unit impulse velocity response, in $\mathrm{m} /\left(\mathrm{Ns}^{2}\right)$;
$n_{40}$ is the number of first-order modes with natural frequencies up to 40 Hz ;
$b$ is the floor width, in m;
$m \quad$ is the mass, in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{2}$;
$\ell \quad$ is the floor span, in $m$.
The value of $n_{40}$ may be calculated from:
$n_{40}=\left\{\left(\left(\frac{40}{f_{1}}\right)^{2}-1\right)\left(\frac{b}{\ell}\right)^{4} \frac{(E I)_{\ell}}{(E I)_{\mathrm{b}}}\right\}^{0,25}$
where $(E I)_{\mathrm{b}}$ is the equivalent plate bending stiffness, in $\mathrm{Nm}^{2} / \mathrm{m}$, of the floor about an axis parallel to the beams, where $(E I)_{\mathrm{b}}<(E I)_{\epsilon}$.

## 8 Connections with metal fasteners

For timber structures, the serviceability and the durability of the structure depend mainly on the design of the joints between the elements. For commonly used connections, a distinction is made between carpentry joints and mechanical joints that can be made from several types of fastener.

For a given structure, the selection of fasteners is not only controlled by the loading and the load-carrying capacity conditions. It includes some construction considerations such as aesthetics, the cost-efficiency of the structure and the fabrication process. The erection method and the preference of the designer or the architect are also involved. It is impossible to specify a set of rules from which the best connection can be designed for any structure. The main idea is that the simpler the joint and the fewer the fasteners, the better is the structural result.

The traditional mechanical fasteners are divided into two groups depending on how they transfer the forces between the connected members.

The main group corresponds to the dowel type fasteners. Here, the load transfer involves both the bending behaviour of the dowel and the bearing and shear stresses in the timber along the shank of the dowel. Staples, nails, screws, bolts and dowels belong to this group. The second type includes fasteners such as split-rings, shear-plates, and punched metal plates in which the load transmission is primarily achieved by a large bearing area at the surface of the members. The load transmission is primarily achieved by a large bearing area at the surface of the members. This handbook deals only with the dowel type fasteners.

e)

f) $\frac{1}{\mathrm{~h} \mathrm{NA}_{n}}$
b)
c)
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Figure 8.1 Metal fasteners
a) nails, b) dowel, c) bolt, d) srews, e) split ring connector, f) toothed-plate connector g) punched metal plate fastener

### 8.1 Basic assumptions

There is a huge variety of configurations and design loadings of connections.

### 8.1.1 Fastener requirements

Unless rules are given in this chapter, the characteristic load-carrying capacity, and the stiffness of the connections shall be determined from tests according to EN 1075, EN 1380, EN 1381, EN 26891 and EN 28970. If the relevant standards describe tension and compression tests, the tests for the determination of the characteristic load-carrying capacity shall be performed in tension.

### 8.1.2 Multiple fastener connections

The arrangement and sizes of the fasteners in a connection, and the fastener spacings, edge and end distances shall be chosen so that the expected strength and stiffness can be obtained.

It shall be taken into account that the load-carrying capacity of a multiple fastener connection, consisting of fasteners of the same type and dimension, may be lower than the summation of the individual load-carrying capacities for each fastener.

When a connection comprises different types of fasteners, or when the stiffness of the connections in respective shear planes of a multiple shear plane connection is different, their compatibility should be verified.

For one row of fasteners parallel to the grain direction, the effective characteristic loadcarrying capacity parallel to the row, $F_{\mathrm{v}, \text { ef,Rk }}$, should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{ef}, \mathrm{Rk}}=n_{\mathrm{ef}} F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{ef}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the effective characteristic load-carrying capacity of one row of fasteners parallel to the grain;
$n_{\mathrm{ef}} \quad$ is the effective number of fasteners in line parallel to the grain;
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic load-carrying capacity of each fastener parallel to the grain.
NOTE: Values of $n_{\text {ef }}$ for rows parallel to grain are given in 8.3.1.1 and 8.5.1.1.
For a force acting at an angle to the direction of the row, it should be verified that the force component parallel to the row is less than or equal to the load-carrying capacity calculated according to expression (8.1).

### 8.1.3 Multiple shear plane connections

In multiple shear plane connections the resistance of each shear plane should be determined by assuming that each shear plane is part of a series of three-member connections.

To be able to combine the resistance from individual shear planes in a multiple shear plane connection, the governing failure mode of the fasteners in the respective shear planes should be compatible with each other and should not consist of a combination of failure modes (a), (b), (g) and (h) from Figure 8.2 or modes (c), (f) and ( $\mathrm{j} / \mathrm{l}$ ) from Figure 8.3 with the other failure modes.

### 8.1.4 Connection forces at an angle to the grain

When a force in a connection acts at an angle to the grain, (see Figure 8.1), the possibility of splitting caused by the tension force component $F_{\text {Ed }} \sin \alpha$, perpendicular to the grain, shall be taken into account.

To take account of the possibility of splitting caused by the tension force component, $F_{\text {Ed }} \sin \alpha$, perpendicular to the grain, the following shall be satisfied:
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}} \leq F_{90, \mathrm{Rd}}$
with
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}}=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}, 1} \\ F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}, 2}\end{array}\right.$
where:
$F_{90, \mathrm{Rd}} \quad$ is the design splitting capacity, calculated from the characteristic splitting capacity $F_{90, \mathrm{Rk}}$ according to 2.3.3;
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}, 1}, F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}, 2}$ are the design shear forces on either side of the connection (see Figure 8.1).
For softwoods, the characteristic splitting capacity for the arrangement shown in Figure 8.1 should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{90, \mathrm{Rk}}=14 b w \sqrt{\frac{h_{\mathrm{e}}}{\left(1-\frac{h_{\mathrm{e}}}{h}\right)}} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

and:
$F_{90, \mathrm{Rk}} \quad$ is the characteristic splitting capacity, in N ;
$w$ is a modification factor;
$h_{\mathrm{e}} \quad$ is the loaded edge distance to the centre of the most distant fastener or to the edge of the punched metal plate fastener, in mm;
$h \quad$ is the timber member height, in mm;
$b \quad$ is the member thickness, in mm;
$w_{\mathrm{pl}} \quad$ is the width of the punched metal plate fastener parallel to the grain, in mm .


Figure 8.1 Inclined force transmitted by a connection

### 8.1.5 Alternating connection forces

The characteristic load-carrying capacity of a connection shall be reduced if the connection is subject to alternating internal forces due to long-term or medium-term actions.

The effect on connection strength of long-term or medium-term actions alternating between a tensile design force $F_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Ed}}$ and a compressive design force $F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Ed}}$ should be taken into account by designing the connection for ( $F_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Ed}}+0,5 F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Ed}}$ ) and $\left(F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{Ed}}+0,5 F_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{Ed}}\right)$.

### 8.2 Lateral load-carrying capacity of metal dowel-type fasteners

The failure of laterally loaded fasteners include both crushing of the timber and bending of the fastener.

### 8.2.1 Asumptions

For the determination of the characteristic load-carrying capacity of connections with metal dowel-type fasteners the contributions of the yield strength, the embedment strength, and the withdrawal strength of the fastener shall be considered.

### 8.2.2 Timber-to-timber and panel-to-timber connections

The characteristic load-carrying capacity for nails, staples, bolts, dowels and screws per shear plane per fastener, should be taken as the minimum value found from the following expressions:

- For fasteners in single shear

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d \\
f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} t_{2} d \\
\frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d}{1+\beta}\left[\sqrt{\beta+2 \beta^{2}\left[1+\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}+\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{2}\right]+\beta^{3}\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)^{2}}-\beta\left(1+\frac{t_{2}}{t_{1}}\right)\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4} \\
1,05 \frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d}{2+\beta}\left[\sqrt{2 \beta(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta(2+\beta) M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d t_{1}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}  \tag{f}\\
1,05 \frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{2} d}{1+2 \beta}\left[\sqrt{2 \beta^{2}(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta(1+2 \beta) M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d t_{2}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4} \\
1,15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta}} \sqrt{2 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- For fasteners in double shear:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d \\
0,5 f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} t_{2} d \\
1,05 \frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d}{2+\beta}\left[\sqrt{2 \beta(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta(2+\beta) M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d t_{1}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4} \\
1,15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta}} \sqrt{2 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta=\frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}}} \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic load-carrying capacity per shear plane per fastener;
$t_{\mathrm{i}} \quad$ is the timber or board thickness or penetration depth, with i either 1 or 2 , see also 8.3 to 8.7 ;
$f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{i}, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic embedment strength in timber member i ;
$d \quad$ is the fastener diameter;
$M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic fastener yield moment;
$\beta \quad$ is the ratio between the embedment strength of the members;
$F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic axial withdrawal capacity of the fastener.
NOTE: Plasticity of joints can be assured when relatively slender fasteners are used. In that case, failure modes ( f ) and ( k ) are governing.

In the expressions (8.6) and (8.7), the first term on the right hand side is the load-carrying capacity according to the Johansen yield theory, whilst the second term $F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}} / 4$ is the contribution from the rope effect. The contribution to the load-carrying capacity due to the rope effect should be limited to following percentages of the Johansen part:

- Round nails $15 \%$
- Square nails $25 \%$
- Other nails 50 \%
- Screws 100\%
- Bolts $25 \%$
- Dowels $0 \%$

If $F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is not known then the contribution from the rope effect should be taken as zero.
For single shear fasteners the characteristic withdrawal capacity, $F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$, is taken as the lower of the capacities in the two members. The different modes of failure are illustrated in Figure 8.2. For the withdrawal capacity, $F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$, of bolts the resistance provided by the washers may be taken into account, see 8.5.2.

If no design rules are given below, the characteristic embedment strength $f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}$ should be determined according to EN 383 and EN 14358.

If no design rules are given below, the characteristic yield moment $M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{k}}$ should be determined according to EN 409 and EN 14358.
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NOTE: The letters correspond to the references of the expressions (8.6) and (8.7).
Figure 8.2 Failure modes for timber and panel connections.

### 8.2.3 Steel-to-timber connections

The characteristic load-carrying capacity of a steel-to-timber connection depends on the thickness of the steel plates. Steel plates of thickness less than or equal to $0,5 d$ are classified as thin plates and steel plates of thickness greater than or equal to $d$ with the tolerance on hole diameters being less than $0,1 d$ are classified as thick plates. The characteristic load-carrying capacity of connections with steel plate thickness between a thin and a thick plate should be calculated by linear interpolation between the limiting thin and thick plate values.

The strength of the steel plate shall be checked.
The characteristic load-carrying capacity for nails, bolts, dowels and screws per shear plane per fastener should be taken as the minimum value found from the following expressions:

- For a thin steel plate in single shear:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,4 f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d  \tag{8.9}\\
1,15 \sqrt{2 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

(a)
(b)

- For a thick steel plate in single shear:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \begin{cases}f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d\left[\sqrt{\left.2+\frac{4 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} d t_{1}^{2}}-1\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}}\right. & \text { (c) }  \tag{8.10}\\ 2,3 \sqrt{M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4} & \text { (d) } \\ f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d & \text { (e) }\end{cases}
$$

- For a steel plate of any thickness as the central member of a double shear connection:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d  \tag{8.11}\\
f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} t_{1} d\left[\sqrt{2+\frac{4 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{f_{\mathrm{h}, 1, \mathrm{k}} d t_{1}^{2}}}-1\right]+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}(\mathrm{~g}) \\
2,3 \sqrt{M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{l}, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- For thin steel plates as the outer members of a double shear connection:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \begin{cases}0,5 f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} t_{2} d  \tag{8.12}\\ 1,15 \sqrt{2 M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4} & (\mathrm{k})\end{cases}
$$

- For thick steel plates as the outer members of a double shear connection:

$$
F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
0,5 f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} t_{2} d  \tag{8.13}\\
2,3 \sqrt{M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} f_{\mathrm{h}, 2, \mathrm{k}} d}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}}{4}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where:
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic load-carrying capacity per shear plane per fastener;
$f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic embedment strength in the timber member;
$t_{1} \quad$ is the smaller of the thickness of the timber side member or the penetration depth;
$t_{2} \quad$ is the thickness of the timber middle member;
$d \quad$ is the fastener diameter;
$M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic fastener yield moment;
$F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic withdrawal capacity of the fastener.
NOTE 1: The different failure modes are illustrated in Figure 8.3.


Figure 8.3 Failure modes for steel-to-timber connections
For the limitation of the rope effect $F_{\text {ax,Rk }}$ 8.2.2 applies.
It shall be taken into account that the load-carrying capacity of steel-to-timber connections with a loaded end may be reduced by failure along the perimeter of the fastener group.

### 8.3 Nailed connections

Nails are the most commonly used fasteners in timber construction.

### 8.3.1 Laterally loaded nails

The failure of laterally loaded nails include both crushing of the timber and bending of the nail.

### 8.3.1.1 Asumptions

The symbols for the thicknesses in single and double shear connections (see Figure 8.4) are defined as follows:
$t_{1}$ is:
the headside thickness in a single shear connection;
the minimum of the head side timber thickness and the pointside penetration in a double shear connection;
$t_{2}$ is:
the pointside penetration in a single shear connection;
the central member thickness in a double shear connection.
Timber should be pre-drilled when:

- the characteristic density of the timber is greater than $500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
- the diameter $d$ of the nail exceeds 8 mm .

For square and grooved nails, the nail diameter $d$ should be taken as the side dimension.
For smooth nails produced from wire with a minimum tensile strength of $600 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, the following characteristic values for yield moment should be used:

$$
M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}= \begin{cases}0,3 f_{\mathrm{u}} d^{2,6} & \text { for round nails }  \tag{8.14}\\ 0,45 f_{\mathrm{u}} d^{2,6} & \text { for square nails }\end{cases}
$$

where:
$M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}} \quad$ is the characteristic value for the yield moment, in Nmm;
d is the nail diameter as defined in EN 14592, in mm;
$f_{\mathrm{u}} \quad$ is the tensile strength of the wire, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$.
For nails with diameters up to 8 mm , the following characteristic embedment strengths in timber and LVL apply:

- without predrilled holes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=0,082 \rho_{\mathrm{k}} d^{-0,3} \quad \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \tag{8.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

- with predrilled holes

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=0,082(1-0,01 d) \rho_{\mathrm{k}} \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic timber density, in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
$d$ is the nail diameter, in mm .

(a)

(b)

Figure 8.4 Definitions of $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ (a) single shear connection, (b) double shear connection

For nails with diameters greater than 8 mm the characteristic embedment strength values for bolts according to 8.5.1 apply.
In a three-member connection, nails may overlap in the central member provided $\left(t-t_{2}\right)$ is greater than $4 d$ (see Figure 8.5).


Figure 8.5 Overlapping nails

For one row of $n$ nails parallel to the grain, unless the nails of that row are staggered perpendicular to grain by at least $1 d$ (see Figure 8.6), the load-carrying capacity parallel to the grain (see 8.1.2) should be calculated using the effective number of fasteners $n_{\mathrm{ef}}$, where:
$n_{\text {ef }}=n^{k_{\mathrm{ef}}}$
where:
$n_{\text {ef }}$ is the effective number of nails in the row;
$n \quad$ is the number of nails in a row;
$k_{\mathrm{ef}} \quad$ is given in Table 8.1.
Table 8.1 - Values of $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {ef }}$

| Spacing $^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\boldsymbol{k}_{\text {ef }}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Not <br> predrilled | Predrilled |
| $a_{1} \geq 14 d$ | 1,0 | 1,0 |
| $a_{1}=10 d$ | 0,85 | 0,85 |
| $a_{1}=7 d$ | 0,7 | 0,7 |
| $a_{1}=4 d$ | - | 0,5 |
| ${ }^{2}=7$ |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ For intermediate spacings, linear interpolation of $k_{\text {ef }}$ is permitted
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Figure 8.6 Nails in a row parallel to grain staggered perpendicular to grain by $d$
There should be at least two nails in a connection.

### 8.3.1.2 Nailed timber-to-timber connections

For smooth nails the pointside penetration length should be at least $8 d$.
For nails other than smooth nails, as defined in EN 14592, the pointside penetration length should be at least $6 d$.

Smooth nails in end grain should not be considered capable of transmitting lateral forces.
As an alternative to 8.3.1.2, for nails in end grain the following rules apply:

- In secondary structures smooth nails may be used. The design values of the load-carrying capacity should be taken as $1 / 3$ of the values for nails installed at right angles to the grain;
- Nails other than smooth nails, as defined in EN 14592, may be used in structures other than secondary structures. The design values of the load-carrying capacity should be taken as $1 / 3$
of the values for smooth nails of equivalent diameter installed at right angles to the grain, provided that:
- the nails are only laterally loaded;
- there are at least three nails per connection;
- the pointside penetration is at least $10 d$;
- the connection is not exposed to service class 3 conditions;
- the prescribed spacings and edge distances given in Table 8.2 are satisfied.

Note: An example of a secondary structure is a fascia board nailed to rafters.
Minimum spacings and edge and end distances are given in Table 8.2, where (see Figure 8.7):
$a_{1} \quad$ is the spacing of nails within one row parallel to grain;
$a_{2}$ is the spacing of rows of nails perpendicular to grain;
$a_{3, \mathrm{c}}$ is the distance between nail and unloaded end;
$a_{3, \mathrm{t}}$ is the distance between nail and loaded end;
$a_{4, \mathrm{c}}$ is the distance between nail and unloaded edge;
$a_{4, \mathrm{t}}$ is the distance between nail and loaded edge;
$\alpha \quad$ is the angle between the force and the grain direction.

Table 8.2 Minimum spacings and edge and end distances for nails

| Spacing or | Angle | Minimum spacing or end/edge distance |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | without predrilled holes |  | with predrilled |
|  |  | $\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ | $420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}<\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$ |  |
| Spacing $a_{1}$ (parallel to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} d<5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ (5+5\|\cos \alpha\|) d \\ d \geq 5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ (5+7\|\cos \alpha\|) d \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $(7+8\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ | $(4+\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ |
| Spacing $a_{2}$ (perpendicular to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $5 d$ | $7 d$ | $(3+\|\sin \alpha\|) d$ |
| Distance $a_{3, t}$ (loaded end) | $-90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 90^{\circ}$ | $(10+5 \cos \alpha) d$ | $(15+5 \cos \alpha) d$ | $(7+5 \cos \alpha) d$ |
| Distance $a_{3, c}$ (unloaded end) | $90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 270^{\circ}$ | 10d | 15d | $7 d$ |
| Distance $a_{4, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded edge) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 180^{\circ}$ | $\begin{aligned} & d<5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & (5+2 \sin \alpha) d \\ & d \geq 5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & (5+5 \sin \alpha) d \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline d<5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & \quad(7+2 \sin \alpha) d \\ & d \geq 5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & \quad(7+5 \sin \alpha) d \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & d<5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & \quad(3+2 \sin \alpha) d \\ & d \geq 5 \mathrm{~mm}: \\ & \quad(3+4 \sin \alpha) d \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |
| Distance $a_{4, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded edge) | $180^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360$ | $5 d$ | $7 d$ | $3 d$ |

Timber should be pre-drilled when the thickness of the timber members is smaller than
$t=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}7 d \\ (13 d-30) \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{400}\end{array}\right.$
where:
$t$ is the minimum thickness of timber member to avoid pre-drilling, in mm;
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic timber density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
$d$ is the nail diameter, in mm .
Timber of species especially sensitive to splitting should be pre-drilled when the thickness of the timber members is smaller than
$t=\max \left\{\begin{array}{l}14 d \\ (13 d-30) \frac{\rho_{\mathrm{k}}}{200}\end{array}\right.$
Expression (8.19) may be replaced by expression (8.18) for edge distances given by:
$a_{4} \geq 10 d \quad$ for $\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
$a_{4} \geq 14 d \quad$ for $420 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3} \leq \rho_{\mathrm{k}} \leq 500 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.

Note: Examples of species sensitive to splitting are fir (abies alba), Douglas fir (pseudotsuga menziesii) and spruce (picea abies)..
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Figure 8.7 - Spacings and end and edge distances
(a) Spacing parallel to grain in a row and perpendicular to grain between rows, (b) Edge and end distances

### 8.3.1.3 Nailed panel-to-timber connections

Minimum nail spacings for all nailed panel-to-timber connections are those given in Table 8.2 , multiplied by a factor of 0,85 . The end/edge distances for nails remain unchanged unless otherwise stated below.

Minimum edge and end distances in plywood members should be taken as $3 d$ for an unloaded edge (or end) and ( $3+4 \sin \alpha) d$ for a loaded edge (or end), where $\alpha$ is the angle between the direction of the load and the loaded edge (or end).

For nails with a head diameter of at least $2 d$, the characteristic embedment strengths are as follows:

- for plywood:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=0,11 \rho_{\mathrm{k}} d^{-0,3} \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic embedment strength, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$;
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic plywood density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
$d$ is the nail diameter, in mm ;

- for hardboard in accordance with EN 622-2:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=30 d^{-0,3} t^{0,6} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic embedment strength, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$;
$d$ is the nail diameter, in mm ;
$t \quad$ is the panel thickness, in mm.

- for particleboard and OSB:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=65 d^{-0,7} t^{0,1} \tag{8.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic embedment strength, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$;
$d$ is the nail diameter, in mm ;
$t \quad$ is the panel thickness, in mm .

### 8.3.1.4 Nailed steel-to-timber connections

The minimum edge and end distances for nails given in Table 8.2 apply. Minimum nail spacings are those given in Table 8.2, multiplied by a factor of 0,7 .

### 8.3.2 Axially loaded nails

Smooth nails shall not be used to resist permanent or long-term axial loading.
For threaded nails, only the threaded part should be considered capable of transmitting axial load.

Nails in end grain should be considered incapable of transmitting axial load.
The characteristic withdrawal capacity of nails, $F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}$, for nailing perpendicular to the grain (Figure 8.8 (a) and for slant nailing (Figure 8.8 (b)), should be taken as the smaller of the values found from the following expressions:

- For nails other than smooth nails, as defined in EN 14592:

$$
F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}} d t_{\mathrm{pen}}  \tag{a}\\
f_{\text {head, }, \mathrm{k}} d_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

- For smooth nails:

$$
F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rk}}=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}} d t_{\mathrm{pen}}  \tag{a}\\
f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}} d t+f_{\mathrm{head}, \mathrm{k}} d_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where:
$f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic pointside withdrawal strength;
$f_{\text {head,k }} \quad$ is the characteristic headside pull-through strength;
$d \quad$ is the nail diameter according to 8.3.1.1;
$t_{\text {pen }} \quad$ is the pointside penetration length or the length of the threaded part in the pointside member;
$t \quad$ is the thickness of the headside member;
$d_{\mathrm{h}} \quad$ is the nail head diameter.

The characteristic strengths $f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}$ and $f_{\text {head,k }}$ should be determined by tests in accordance with EN 1382, EN 1383 and EN 14358 unless specified in the following.

For smooth nails with a pointside penetration of at least $12 d$, the characteristic values of the withdrawal and pull-through strengths should be found from the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}=20 \times 10^{-6} \rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{2}  \tag{8.25}\\
& f_{\text {head }, \mathrm{k}}=70 \times 10^{-6} \rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{2} \tag{8.26}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic timber density in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
For smooth nails, the pointside penetration $t_{\text {pen }}$ should be at least $8 d$. For nails with a pointside penetration smaller than $12 d$ the withdrawal capacity should be multiplied by $\left(t_{\text {pen }} / 4 d-2\right)$. For threaded nails, the pointside penetration should be at least $6 d$. For nails with a pointside penetration smaller than $8 d$ the withdrawal capacity should be multiplied by ( $t_{\text {pen }} / 2 d-3$ ).

For structural timber which is installed at or near fibre saturation point, and which is likely to dry out under load, the values of $f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}$ and $f_{\text {head,k }}$ should be multiplied by $2 / 3$.

The spacings, end and edge distances for laterally loaded nails apply to axially loaded nails.
For slant nailing the distance to the loaded edge should be at least $10 d$ (see Figure 8.8 (b)). There should be at least two slant nails in a connection.


Figure 8.8 (a) Nailing perpendicular to grain and (b) slant nailing

### 8.3.3 Combined laterally and axially loaded nails

For connections subjected to a combination of axial load ( $F_{\text {ax,Ed }}$ ) and lateral load ( $F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}}$ )the following expressions should be satisfied:

- for smooth nails:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Ed}}}{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rd}}}+\frac{F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}}}{F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rd}}} \leq 1 \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

- for nails other than smooth nails, as defined in EN 14592:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Ed}}}{F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rd}}}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Ed}}}{F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rd}}}\right)^{2} \leq 1 \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$F_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{Rd}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{Rd}}$ are the design load-carrying capacities of the connection loaded with axial load or lateral load respectively.

### 8.4 Stapled connections

The rules given in 8.3, except of expressions (8.15), (8.16) and (8.19) apply for round or nearly round or rectangular staples with bevelled or symmetrical pointed legs.

For staples with rectangular cross-sections the diameter $d$ should be taken as the square root of the product of both dimensions.

The width $b$ of the staple crown should be at least $6 d$, and the pointside penetration length $t_{2}$ should be at least $14 d$, see Figure 8.9.

There should be at least two staples in a connection.
The lateral design load-carrying capacity per staple per shear plane should be considered as equivalent to that of two nails with the staple diameter, provided that the angle between the crown and the direction of the grain of the timber under the crown is greater than $30^{\circ}$, see Figure 8.10. If the angle between the crown and the direction of the grain under the crown is equal to or less than $30^{\circ}$, then the lateral design load-carrying capacity should be multiplied by a factor of 0,7 .

For staples produced from wire with a minimum tensile strength of $800 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, the following characteristic yield moment per leg should be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}=240 d^{2,6} \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic yield moment, in Nmm;
$d \quad$ is the staple leg diameter, in mm .
For a row of $n$ staples parallel to the grain, the load-carrying capacity in that direction should be calculated using the effective number of fasteners $n_{\text {ef }}$ according to 8.3.1.1- expression (8.17).

Minimum staple spacings, edge and end distances are given in Table 8.3, and illustrated in Figure 8.10 where $\Theta$ is the angle between the staple crown and the grain direction.
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Figure 8.9 Staple dimensions


Figure 8.10 Definition of spacing for staples

Table 8.3 Minimum spacings and edge and end distances for staples

| Spacing and edge/end <br> distances <br> (see Figure 8.7) | Angle | Minimum spacing <br> or edge/end <br> distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ (parallel to grain) <br> for $\Theta \geq 30^{\circ}$ <br> for $\Theta<30^{\circ}$ | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $(10+5\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ <br> $(15+5\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ |
| $a_{2}$ (perpendicular to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{0^{\circ}}$ | $15 d$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded end) | $-90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 90^{\circ}$ | $(15+5\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded end) | $90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 270^{\circ}$ | $15 d$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded edge) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 180^{\circ}$ | $(15+5\|\sin \alpha\|) d$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded edge) | $180^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $10 d$ |

### 8.5 Bolted connections

Bolts are installed into pre-drilled clearance holes in the timber.

### 8.5.1 Laterally loaded bolts

The failure of laterally loaded bolts include both crushing of the timber and bending of the bolt.

### 8.5.1.1 General and bolted timber-to-timber connections

For bolts the following characteristic value for the yield moment should be used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}=0,3 f_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{k}} d^{2,6} \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$M_{\mathrm{y}, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic value for the yield moment, in Nmm;
$f_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic tensile strength, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$;
$d \quad$ is the bolt diameter, in mm .
For bolts up to 30 mm diameter, the following characteristic embedment strength values in timber and LVL should be used, at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain:

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{a}, \mathrm{k}}=\frac{f_{\mathrm{h}, 0, \mathrm{k}}}{k_{90} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha}  \tag{8.31}\\
& f_{\mathrm{h}, 0, \mathrm{k}}=0,082(1-0,01 d) \rho_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{8.32}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$k_{90}= \begin{cases}1,35+0,015 d & \text { for softwoods } \\ 1,30+0,015 d & \text { for } \mathrm{LVL} \\ 0,90+0,015 d & \text { for hardwoods }\end{cases}$
and:
$f_{\mathrm{h}, 0, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristc embedment strength parallel to grain, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$;
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic timber density, in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
$\alpha \quad$ is the angle of the load to the grain;
$d$ is the bolt diameter, in mm .
Minimum spacings and edge and end distances should be taken from Table 8.4, with symbols illustrated in Figure 8.7.

Table 8.4 Minimum values of spacing and edge and end distances for bolts

| Spacing and end/edge <br> distances <br> (see Figure 8.7) | Angle | Minimum spacing or <br> distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ (parallel to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $(4+\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ |
| $a_{2}$ (perpendicular to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $4 d$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded end) | $-90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 90^{\circ}$ | $\max (7 d ; 80 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded end) | $90^{\circ} \leq \alpha<150^{\circ}$ | $\max [(1+6 \sin \alpha) d ; 4 d]$ |
|  | $150^{\circ} \leq \alpha<210^{\circ}$ | $4 d$ |
|  | $210^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 270^{\circ}$ | $\max [(1+6 \sin \alpha) d ; 4 d]$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded edge) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 180^{\circ}$ | $\max [(2+2 \sin \alpha) d ; 3 d]$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded edge) | $180^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $3 d$ |

For one row of $n$ bolts parallel to the grain direction, the load-carrying capacity parallel to grain, see 8.1.2(4), should be calculated using the effective number of bolts $n_{\text {ef }}$ where:
$n_{\text {ef }}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}n \\ n^{0,9} \sqrt[4]{\frac{a_{1}}{13 d}}\end{array}\right.$
where:
$a_{1}$ is the spacing between bolts in the grain direction;
$d$ is the bolt diameter
$n$ is the number of bolts in the row.
For loads perpendicular to grain, the effective number of fasteners should be taken as
$n_{\text {ef }}=n$
For angles $0^{\circ}<\alpha<90^{\circ}$ between load and grain direction, $n_{\text {ef }}$ may be determined by linear interpolation between expressions (8.34) and (8.35).

Requirements for minimum washer dimensions and thickness in relation to bolt diameter are given in 10.4.3.

### 8.5.1.2 Bolted panel-to-timber connections

For plywood the following embedment strength, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, should be used at all angles to the face grain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=0,11(1-0,01 d) \rho_{\mathrm{k}} \tag{8.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic plywood density, in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$;
$d$ is the bolt diameter, in mm.
For particleboard and OSB the following embedment strength value, in $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$, should be used at all angles to the face grain:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{h}, \mathrm{k}}=50 d^{-0,6} t^{0,2} \tag{8.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$d$ is the bolt diameter, in mm;
$t$ is the panel thickness, in mm .

### 8.5.1.3 Bolted steel-to-timber connections

The rules given in 8.2.3 apply.

### 8.5.2 Axially loaded bolts

The axial load-bearing capacity and withdrawal capacity of a bolt should be taken as the lower value of:

- the bolt tensile capacity;
- the load-bearing capacity of either the washer or (for steel-to-timber connections) the steel plate.

The bearing capacity of a washer should be calculated assuming a characteristic compressive strength on the contact area of $3,0 f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{k}}$.

The bearing capacity per bolt of a steel plate should not exceed that of a circular washer with a diameter which is the minimum of:

- $12 t$, where $t$ is the plate thickness;
- $4 d$, where $d$ is the bolt diameter.


### 8.6 Dowelled connections

The rules given in 8.5.1 except minimum spacing and edge and end distances apply.
The dowel diameter should be greater than 6 mm and less than 30 mm .
Minimum spacing and edge and end distances are given in Table 8.5, with symbols illustrated in Figure 8.7.

Table 8.5 Minimum spacings and edge and end distances for dowels

| Spacing and edge/end <br> distances <br> (see Figure 8.7) | Angle | Minimum spacing or <br> edge/end distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ (parallel to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $(3+2\|\cos \alpha\|) d$ |
| $a_{2}$ (perpendicular to grain) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $3 d$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded end) | $-90^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 90^{0^{\circ}}$ | $\max (7 d ; 80 \mathrm{~mm})$ |
| $a_{3, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded end) | $90^{0^{\circ}} \leq \alpha<150^{\circ}$ | $\left.\max \left(a_{3, \mathrm{t}}\|\sin \alpha\|\right) d ; 3 d\right)$ |
|  | $150^{\circ} \leq \alpha<210^{\circ}$ | $3 d$ |
|  | $210^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 270^{\circ}$ | $\left.\max \left(a_{3, \mathrm{t}}\|\sin \alpha\|\right) d ; 3 d\right)$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{t}}$ (loaded edge) | $0^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 180^{\circ}$ | $\max (2+2 \sin \alpha) d ; 3 d)$ |
| $a_{4, \mathrm{c}}$ (unloaded edge) | $180^{\circ} \leq \alpha \leq 360^{\circ}$ | $2 d$ |

Requirements for dowel hole tolerances are given in 10.4.4.

### 8.7 Screwed connections

Screws are installed into a drilled hole, by turning the screw and allowing the flutes on the thread of the screw to draw it in.

### 8.7.1 Laterally loaded screws

The effect of the threaded part of the screw shall be taken into account in determining the load-carrying capacity, by using an effective diameter $d_{\mathrm{ef}}$

For smooth shank screws, where the outer thread diameter is equal to the shank diameter, the rules given in 8.2 apply, provided that:

- The effective diameter $d_{\text {ef }}$ is taken as the smooth shank diameter;
- The smooth shank penetrates into the member containing the point of the screw by not less than $4 d$.

Where the conditions in are not satisfied, the screw load-carrying capacity should be calculated using an effective diameter $d_{\mathrm{ef}}$ taken as 1,1 times the thread root diameter.

For smooth shank screws with a diameter $d>6 \mathrm{~mm}$, the rules in 8.5.1 apply.
For smooth shank screws with a diameter of 6 mm or less, the rules of 8.3.1 apply.
Requirements for structural detailing and control of screwed joints are given in 10.4.5.

### 8.7.2 Axially loaded screws

The following failure modes should be verified when assessing the load-carrying capacity of connections with axially loaded screws:

- the withdrawal capacity of the threaded part of the screw;
- for screws used in combination with steel plates, the tear-off capacity of the screw head should be greater than the tensile strength of the screw;
- the pull-through strength of the screw head;
- the tension strength of the screw;
- for screws used in conjunction with steel plates, failure along the circumference of a group of screws (block shear or plug shear);

Minimum spacing and edge distances for axially loaded screws should be taken from Table 8.6.

Table 8.6 Minimum spacings and edge distances for axially loaded screws

| Screws driven | Minimum <br> spacing | Minimum edge <br> distance |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| At right angle to the <br> grain | $4 d$ | $4 d$ |
| In end grain | $4 d$ | $2,5 d$ |

The minimum pointside penetration length of the threaded part should be $6 d$.
The characteristic withdrawal capacity of connections with axially loaded screws should be taken as:
$F_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{Rk}}=n_{\text {ef }}\left(\pi d l_{\mathrm{ef}}\right)^{0,8} f_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{k}}$
where:
$F_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{Rk}}$ is the characteristic withdrawal capacity of the connection at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain;
$n_{\mathrm{ef}} \quad$ is the effective number of screws;
$d \quad$ is the outer diameter measured on the threaded part;
$l_{\text {ef }} \quad$ is the pointside penetration length of the threaded part minus one screw diameter;
$f_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic withdrawal strength at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain.
The characteristic withdrawal strength at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain should be taken as:
$f_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{k}}=\frac{f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}}{\sin ^{2} \alpha+1,5 \cos ^{2} \alpha}$
with:

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}=3,6 \times 10^{-3} \rho_{\mathrm{k}}^{1,5} \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$f_{\mathrm{ax}, \alpha, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic withdrawal strength at an angle $\alpha$ to the grain;
$f_{\mathrm{ax}, \mathrm{k}}$ is the characteristic withdrawal strength perpendicular to the grain;
$\rho_{\mathrm{k}} \quad$ is the characteristic density, in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$.
NOTE: Failure modes in the steel or in the timber around the screw are brittle, i.e. with small ultimate deformation and therefore have a limited possibility for stress redistribution.

The pull-through capacity of the head shall be determined by tests, in accordance with EN 1383.
For a connection with a group of screws loaded by a force component parallel to the shank, the effective number of screws is given by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{\mathrm{ef}}=n^{0,9} \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$n_{\mathrm{ef}}$ is the effective number of screws;
$n$ is the number of screws acting together in a connection.

### 8.7.3 Combined laterally and axially loaded screws

For screwed connections subjected to a combination of axial load and lateral load, expression (8.28) should be satisfied.

## 9 Components

### 9.1 Glued thin-webbed beams

If a linear variation of strain over the depth of the beam is assumed, the axial stresses in the wood-based flanges should satisfy the following expressions:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \text { max, }} \leq f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{max}, \mathrm{d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{t}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}$
where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \text { max, } \mathrm{d}}$ is the extreme fibre flange design compressive stress;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{max}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the extreme fibre flange design tensile stress;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the mean flange design compressive stress;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the mean flange design tensile stress;
$k_{\mathrm{c}} \quad$ is a factor which takes into account lateral instability.
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Figure 9.1 Thin-webbed beams

The factor $k_{\mathrm{c}}$ may be determined (conservatively, especially for box beams) according to 6.3.2 with
$\lambda_{\mathrm{z}}=\sqrt{12}\left(\frac{\ell_{\mathrm{c}}}{b}\right)$
where:
$\ell_{c}$ is the distance between the sections where lateral deflection of the compressive flange is prevented;
$b$ is given in Figure 9.1.
If a special investigation is made with respect to the lateral instability of the beam as a whole, it may be assumed that $k_{\mathrm{c}}=1,0$.

The axial stresses in the webs should satisfy the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}} & \leq f_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~d}}  \tag{9.6}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}} & \leq f_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{9.7}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ and $\sigma_{\mathrm{w}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{d}}$ are the design compressive and tensile stresses in the webs;
$f_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{d}}$ and $f_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{d}}$ are the design compressive and tensile bending strengths of the webs.
Unless other values are given, the design in-plane bending strength of the webs should be taken as the design tensile or compressive strength.

It shall be verified that any glued splices have sufficient strength.
Unless a detailed buckling analysis is made it should be verified that:
$h_{\mathrm{w}} \leq 70 b_{\mathrm{w}}$
and
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Ed}} \leq \begin{cases}b_{\mathrm{w}} h_{\mathrm{w}}\left(1+\frac{0,5\left(h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}}+h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}\right)}{h_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) f_{\mathrm{v}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} & \text { for } h_{\mathrm{w}} \leq 35 b_{\mathrm{w}} \\ 35 b_{\mathrm{w}}^{2}\left(1+\frac{0,5\left(h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}}+h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}\right)}{h_{\mathrm{w}}}\right) f_{\mathrm{v}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} & \text { for } 35 b_{\mathrm{w}} \leq h_{\mathrm{w}} \leq 70 b_{\mathrm{w}}\end{cases}$
where:
$F_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{w}, \mathrm{Ed}}$ is the design shear force acting on each web;
$h_{\mathrm{w}} \quad$ is the clear distance between flanges;
$h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}} \quad$ is the compressive flange depth;
$h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}} \quad$ is the tensile flange depth;
$b_{\mathrm{w}} \quad$ is the width of each web;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design panel shear strength.
For webs of wood-based panels, it should, for sections 1-1 in Figure 9.1, be verified that:
$\tau_{\text {mean,d }} \leq \begin{cases}f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} & \text { for } h_{\mathrm{f}} \leq 4 b_{\mathrm{ef}} \\ f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}\left(\frac{4 b_{\mathrm{ef}}}{h_{\mathrm{f}}}\right)^{0,8} & \text { for } h_{\mathrm{f}}>4 b_{\mathrm{ef}}\end{cases}$
where:
$\tau_{\text {mean,d }}$ is the design shear stress at the sections 1-1, assuming a uniform stress distribution;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design planar (rolling) shear strength of the web;
$h_{\mathrm{f}} \quad$ is either $h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}}$ or $h_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}}$.
$b_{\text {ef }}= \begin{cases}b_{\mathrm{w}} & \text { for boxed beams } \\ b_{\mathrm{w}} / 2 & \text { for I-beams }\end{cases}$

### 9.2.1 Glued thin-flanged beams

This section assumes a linear variation of strain over the depth of the beam.
In the strength verification of glued thin-flanged beams, account shall be taken of the nonuniform distribution of stresses in the flanges due to shear lag and buckling.

Unless a more detailed calculation is made, the assembly should be considered as a number of I-beams or U-beams (see Figure 9.2) with effective flange widths $b_{\text {ef }}$, as follows:

- For I-beams

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\mathrm{ef}}=b_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{ef}}+b_{\mathrm{w}} \quad\left(\text { or } b_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ef}}+b_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

- For U-beams

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{\mathrm{ef}}=0,5 b_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{ef}}+b_{\mathrm{w}} \quad\left(\text { or } 0,5 b_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{ef}}+b_{\mathrm{w}}\right) \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The values of $b_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{e}}$ and $b_{\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{e}}$ should not be greater than the maximum value calculated for shear lag from Table 9.1. In addition the value of $b_{\mathrm{c}, \text { ef }}$ should not be greater than the maximum value calculated for plate buckling from Table 9.1.

Maximum effective flange widths due to the effects of shear lag and plate buckling should be taken from Table 9.1 , where $\ell$ is the span of the beam.

Table 9.1 Maximum effective flange widths due to the effects of shear lag and plate buckling

| Flange material | Shear lag | Plate buckling |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Plywood, with grain direction <br> in the outer plies: |  |  |
| - Parallel to the webs | $0,1 \ell$ | $20 h_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| - Perpendicular to the webs | $0,1 \ell$ | $25 h_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| Oriented strand board | $0,15 \ell$ | $25 h_{\mathrm{f}}$ |
| Particleboard or fibreboard |  |  |
| with random fibre orientation | $0,2 \ell$ | $30 h_{\mathrm{f}}$ |

Unless a detailed buckling investigation is made, the unrestrained flange width should not be greater than twice the effective flange width due to plate buckling, from Table 9.1.

For webs of wood-based panels, it should, for sections 1-1 of an I-shaped cross-section in Figure 9.2, be verified that:
$\tau_{\text {mean,d }} \leq \begin{cases}f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}} & \text { for } b_{\mathrm{w}} \leq 8 h_{\mathrm{f}} \\ f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}\left(\frac{8 h_{\mathrm{f}}}{b_{\mathrm{w}}}\right)^{0,8} & \text { for } b_{\mathrm{w}}>8 h_{\mathrm{f}}\end{cases}$
where:
$\tau_{\text {mean,d }}$ is the design shear stress at the sections $1-1$, assuming a uniform stress distribution;
$f_{\mathrm{v}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}$ is the design planar (rolling) shear strength of the flange.
For section 1-1 of a U-shaped cross-section, the same expressions should be verified, but with $8 h_{\mathrm{f}}$ substituted by $4 h_{\mathrm{f}}$.

The axial stresses in the flanges, based on the relevant effective flange width, should satisfy the following expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}} & \leq f_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}}  \tag{9.15}\\
\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~d}} & \leq f_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t}, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{9.16}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}}$ is the mean flange design compressive stress;
$\sigma_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{d}}$ is the mean flange design tensile stress;
$f_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the flange design compressive strength;
$f_{\mathrm{f}, \mathrm{t} \mathrm{d}} \quad$ is the flange design tensile strength.
It shall be verified that any glued splices have sufficient strength.
The axial stresses in the wood-based webs should satisfy the expressions (9.6) to (9.7) defined in 9.1.1


Figure 9.2 Thin-flanged beam

### 9.1.3 Mechanically jointed beams

If the cross-section of a structural member is composed of several parts connected by mechanical fasteners, consideration shall be given to the influence of the slip occurring in the joints.

Calculations should be carried out assuming a linear relationship between force and slip.
If the spacing of the fasteners varies in the longitudinal direction according to the shear force between $s_{\min }$ and $s_{\max }\left(\leq 4 s_{\min }\right)$, an effective spacing $s_{\text {ef }}$ may be used as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathrm{ef}}=0,75 s_{\min }+0,25 s_{\max } \tag{9.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

NOTE: A method for the calculation of the load-carrying capacity of mechanically jointed beams is given in Chapter 10.

### 9.1.4 Mechanically jointed and glued columns

Deformations due to slip in joints, to shear and bending in packs, gussets, shafts and flanges, and to axial forces in the lattice shall be taken into account in the strength verification.

NOTE: A method for the calculation of the load-carrying capacity of I- and box-columns, spaced columns and lattice columns is given in Chapter 11.

## 10 Mechanically jointed beams

### 10.1 Simplified analysis

### 10.1.1 Cross-sections

The cross-sections shown in Figure 10.1 are considered.

### 10.1.2 Assumptions

The design method is based on the theory of linear elasticity and the following assumptions:

- the beams are simply supported with a span $\ell$. For continuous beams the expressions may be used with $\ell$ equal to 0,8 of the relevant span and for cantilevered beams with $\ell$ equal to twice the cantilever length
- the individual parts (of wood, wood-based panels) are either full length or made with glued end joints
- the individual parts are connected to each other by mechanical fasteners with a slip modulus $K$
- the spacing $s$ between the fasteners is constant or varies uniformly according to the shear force between $s_{\text {min }}$ and $\mathrm{s}_{\text {max }}$, with $s_{\text {max }} \leq 4 s_{\text {min }}$
- the load is acting in the z-direction giving a moment $M=M(x)$ varying sinusoidally or parabolically and a shear force $V=V(x)$.


### 10.1.3 Spacings

Where a flange consists of two parts jointed to a web or where a web consists of two parts (as in a box beam), the spacing $s_{\mathrm{i}}$ is determined by the sum of the fasteners per unit length in the two jointing planes.

### 10.1.4 Deflections resulting from bending moments

Deflections are calculated by using an effective bending stiffness $(E I)_{\text {ef },}$ determined in accordance with 10.2.
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Figure 10.1 Cross-section (left) and distribution of bending stresses (right). All measurements are positive except for $a_{2}$ which is taken as positive as shown.

### 10.2 Effective bending stiffness

The effective bending stiffness should be taken as:
$(E I)_{\text {ef }}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(E_{\mathrm{i}} I_{\mathrm{i}}+\gamma_{\mathrm{i}} E_{\mathrm{i}} A_{\mathrm{i}} a_{\mathrm{i}}^{2}\right)$
using mean values of $E$ and where:
$A_{\mathrm{i}}=b_{\mathrm{i}} h_{\mathrm{i}}$
$I_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{b_{\mathrm{i}} h_{\mathrm{i}}^{3}}{12}$
$\gamma_{2}=1$
$\gamma_{\mathrm{i}}=\left[1+\pi^{2} E_{\mathrm{i}} A_{\mathrm{i}} s_{\mathrm{i}} /\left(K_{\mathrm{i}} l^{2}\right)\right]^{-1}$ for $i=1$ and $i=3$
$a_{2}=\frac{\gamma_{1} E_{1} A_{1}\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)-\gamma_{3} E_{3} A_{3}\left(h_{2}+h_{3}\right)}{2 \sum_{i=1}^{3} \gamma_{\mathrm{i}} E_{\mathrm{i}} A_{\mathrm{i}}}$
where the symbols are defined in Figure 10.1.
$K_{\mathrm{i}}=K_{\text {ser,i }} \quad$ for the serviceability limit state calculations;
$K_{\mathrm{i}}=K_{\mathrm{u}, \mathrm{i}} \quad$ for the ultimate limit state calculations.
For T-sections $h_{3}=0$

### 10.3 Normal stresses

The normal stresses should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{i}} E_{\mathrm{i}} a_{\mathrm{i}} M}{(E I)_{\mathrm{ef}}} \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{i}}=\frac{0,5 E_{\mathrm{i}} h_{\mathrm{i}} M}{(E I)_{\mathrm{ef}}}$

### 10.4 Maximum shear stress

The maximum shear stresses occur where the normal stresses are zero. The maximum shear stresses in the web member (part 2 in Figure 10.1) should be taken as:
$\tau_{2, \text { max }}=\frac{\gamma_{3} E_{3} A_{3} a_{3}+0,5 E_{2} b_{2} h_{2}^{2}}{b_{2}(E I)_{\mathrm{ef}}} V$

### 10.5 Fastener load

The load on a fastener should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mathrm{i}}=\frac{\gamma_{\mathrm{i}} E_{\mathrm{i}} A_{\mathrm{i}} a_{\mathrm{i}} s_{\mathrm{i}}}{(E I)_{\mathrm{ef}}} V \tag{10.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$i=1$ and 3 , respectively;
$s_{\mathrm{i}}=s_{\mathrm{i}}(x)$ is the spacing of the fasteners as defined in 10.1.3.

## 11 Built-up columns

### 11.1 General

### 11.1.1 Assumptions

The following assumptions apply:

- the columns are simply supported with a length $\ell$;
- the individual parts are full length;
- the load is an axial force $F_{\mathrm{c}}$ acting at the geometric centre of gravity, (see 11.2.3).


### 11.1.2 Load-carrying capacity

For column deflection in the $y$-direction (see Figure 11.1 and Figure 11.3) the load-carrying capacity should be taken as the sum of the load-carrying capacities of the individual members.

For column deflection in the z-direction (see Figure 11.1 and Figure 11.3) it should be verified that:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}} \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}, \mathrm{~d}}=\frac{F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}}}{A_{\mathrm{tot}}} \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$A_{\text {tot }}$ is the total cross-sectional area;
$k_{\mathrm{c}} \quad$ is determined in accordance with 6.3 .2 but with an effective slenderness ratio $\lambda_{\text {ef }}$ determined in accordance with sections 11.2-11.4.

### 11.2 Mechanically jointed columns

### 11.2.1 Effective slenderness ratio

The effective slenderness ratio should be taken as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}=\ell \sqrt{\frac{A_{\mathrm{tot}}}{I_{\mathrm{ef}}}} \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\mathrm{ef}}=\frac{(E I)_{\mathrm{ef}}}{E_{\text {mean }}} \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(E I)_{\text {ef }}$ is determined in accordance with Chapter 10..

### 11.2.2 Load on fasteners

The load on a fastener should be determined in accordance with Chapter 10, where

$$
V_{\mathrm{d}}= \begin{cases}\frac{F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}}}{120 k_{\mathrm{c}}} & \text { for } \lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}<30  \tag{11.5}\\ \frac{F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}} \lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}}{360 k_{\mathrm{c}}} & \text { for } 30 \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}<60 \\ \frac{F_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{~d}}}{60 k_{\mathrm{c}}} & \text { for } 60 \leq \lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}\end{cases}
$$

### 11.2.3 Combined loads

In cases where small moments (e.g. from self weight) are acting in adition to axial load, 6.3.2 applies.

### 11.3 Spaced columns with packs or gussets

### 11.3.1 Assumptions

Columns as shown in Figure 11.1 are considered, i.e. columns comprising shafts spaced by packs or gussets. The joints may be either nailed or glued or bolted with suitable connectors.

The following assumptions apply:

- the cross-section is composed of two, three or four identical shafts;
- the cross-sections are symmetrical about both axes;
- the number of unrestrained bays is at least three, i.e. the shafts are at least connected at the ends and at the third points;
- the free distance $a$ between the shafts is not greater than three times the shaft thickness $h$ for columns with packs and not greater than 6 times the shaft thickness for columns with gussets;
- the joints, packs and gussets are designed in accordance with 11.2.2;
- the pack length $\ell_{2}$ satisfies the condition: $\ell_{2} / a \geq 1,5$;
- there are at least four nails or two bolts with connectors in each shear plane. For nailed joints there are at least four nails in a row at each end in the longitudinal direction of the column;
- the gussets satisfies the condition: $\ell_{2} / a \geq 2$;
- the columns are subjected to concentric axial loads.

For columns with two shafts $A_{\text {tot }}$ and $I_{\text {tot }}$ should be calculated as
$A_{\text {tot }}=2 A$
$I_{\text {tot }}=\frac{b\left[(2 h+a)^{3}-a^{3}\right]}{12}$
For columns with three shafts $A_{\text {tot }}$ and $I_{\text {tot }}$ should be calculated as

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{\mathrm{tot}}=3 A  \tag{11.8}\\
& I_{\mathrm{tot}}=\frac{b\left[(3 h+2 a)^{3}-(h+2 a)^{3}+h^{3}\right]}{12} \tag{11.9}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 11.1 - Spaced columns

### 11.3.2 Axial load-carrying capacity

For column deflection in the y-direction (see Figure 11.3) the load-carrying capacity should be taken as the sum of the load-carrying capacities of the individual members.

For column deflection in the z-direction 11.1.2 applies with
$\lambda_{\mathrm{ef}}=\sqrt{\lambda^{2}+\eta \frac{n}{2} \lambda_{1}^{2}}$
where:
$\lambda \quad$ is the slenderness ratio for a solid column with the same length, the same area $\left(A_{\text {tot }}\right)$ and the same second moment of area $\left(I_{\text {tot }}\right)$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\ell \sqrt{A_{\text {tot }} / I_{\text {tot }}} \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\lambda_{1} \quad$ is the slenderness ratio for the shafts and has to be set into expression (11.10) with a minimum value of at least 30 , i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{12} \frac{\ell_{1}}{h} \tag{11.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n \quad$ is the number of shafts;
$\eta \quad$ is a factor given in Table 11.1.

Table 11.1 - The factor $\eta$

|  | Packs |  |  | Gussets |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Glued | Nailed | Bolted $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Glued | Nailed |
| Permanent/long-term <br> loading | 1 | 4 | 3,5 | 3 | 6 |
| Medium/short-term <br> loading | 1 | 3 | 2,5 | 2 | 4,5 |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ with connectors |  |  |  |  |  |

### 11.3.3 Load on fasteners, gussets or packs

The load on the fasteners and the gussets or packs are as shown in Figure 11.2 with $V_{\mathrm{d}}$ according to section 11.2.2.

The shear forces on the gussets or packs, see Figure 11.2, should be calculated from:
$T_{\mathrm{d}}=\frac{V_{\mathrm{d}} l_{1}}{a_{1}}$


Figure 11.2 Shear force distribution and loads on gussets or packs

## Worked examples

## 1 Column with solid cross-section

Column with cross-section $100 \times 100 \mathrm{~mm}$, buckling length $\ell=3000 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Timber of strength class C22 according to EN $338\left(f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{k}}=20 \mathrm{MPa}\right.$ and $\left.E_{0,05}=6700 \mathrm{MPa}\right)$.
Design compressive force $N_{\mathrm{d}}=30 \mathrm{kN}$ ( medium-term ). Service class 1 .

Design compressive strength

$$
f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}=k_{\bmod } \frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,8 \frac{20}{1,3}=12,3 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Design compressive stress

$$
\sigma_{c, 0, d}=\frac{N_{\mathrm{d}}}{A}=\frac{30 \cdot 10^{3}}{10 \cdot 10^{3}}=3,0 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Slenderness ratio
$\lambda=\frac{\ell_{\text {ef }}}{i}=\frac{3000}{0,289 \cdot 100}=103,8$
Buckling resistance
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \text { crit }}=\pi^{2} \frac{E_{0,05}}{\lambda^{2}}=3,14^{2} \frac{6700}{103,8^{2}}=6,1 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\lambda_{\text {rel }}=\sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{k}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{crit}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{20}{6,1}}=1,8$
$k=0,5\left[1+\beta_{c}\left(\lambda_{\text {rel }}-0,3\right)+\lambda_{\text {rel }}^{2}\right]=0,5\left[1+0,2(1,8-0,3)+1,8^{2}\right]=2,27$
$k_{\mathrm{c}}=\frac{1}{k+\sqrt{k^{2}-\lambda_{\text {rel }}^{2}}}=\frac{1}{2,27+\sqrt{2,27^{2}-1,8^{2}}}=0,29$
Verification of failure condition
$\frac{\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, 0 \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}} f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}} \leq 1$
$\frac{3,0}{0,29 \cdot 12,4}=0,83<1$

## 2 Beam with solid cross-section

Simply supported timber beam with cross-section $50 \times 200 \mathrm{~mm}$, clear span $\ell=3500 \mathrm{~mm}$.
Timber of strength class C22 according to EN $338\left(f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}=22 \mathrm{MPa}, f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{k}}=2,4 \mathrm{MPa}\right.$,
$\left.\mathrm{E}_{0,05}=6700 \mathrm{MPa}\right)$.
Design uniformly distributed load of $2 \mathrm{kNm}^{-1}$ ( medium-term ). Service class 1.

Design bending and shear strength
$f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}=k_{\text {mod }} \frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,8 \frac{22,0}{1,3}=13,5 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}=k_{\mathrm{mod}} \frac{f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{k}}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,8 \frac{2,4}{1,3}=1,48 \mathrm{MPa}$
a) Bending ( beam is assumed to be laterally restrained throughout the length of its compression edge )

Verification of failure condition
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}} \leq f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}}{W}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{q_{\mathrm{d}} \ell^{2}}{W}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{2 \cdot 3500^{2} \cdot 6}{50 \cdot 200^{2}}=9,2 \mathrm{MPa}<13,5 \mathrm{MPa}$
b) Bending ( beam is not assumed to be laterally restrained throughout the length of its compression edge )

Buckling resistance

$$
\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{crit}}=\frac{0,78 b^{2} E_{0,05}}{h \ell_{\mathrm{ef}}}=\frac{0,78 \cdot 50^{2} \cdot 6700}{200 \cdot(0,9 \cdot 3500+400)}=18,4 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

$\lambda_{\text {rel, } \mathrm{m}}=\sqrt{\frac{f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{k}}}{\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{crit}}}}=\sqrt{\frac{22}{18,4}}=1,06$
$k_{\text {crit }}=1,56-0,75 \lambda_{\text {rel, m }}=1,56-0,75 \cdot 1,06=0,76$
$k_{\text {crit }} \cdot f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}=0,76 \cdot 13,5=10,3 \mathrm{MPa}$
Verification of failure condition
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}} \leq k_{\text {crit }} \cdot f_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}$
$\sigma_{\mathrm{m}, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{M_{\mathrm{d}}}{W}=\frac{1}{8} \frac{q_{\mathrm{d}} \ell^{2}}{W}=\frac{2 \cdot 3500^{2} \cdot 6}{8 \cdot 50 \cdot 200^{2}}=9,2 \mathrm{MPa}<10,3 \mathrm{MPa}$
c) Shear
$k_{\mathrm{cr}} \cdot f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}=0,67 \cdot 1,48=0,99 \mathrm{MPa}$
$k_{\text {cr }}=0,67$ is taking into account cracks caused by too rapid drying
Verification of failure condition
$\tau_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}} \leq k_{\mathrm{cr}} \cdot f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}$
$\tau_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{3 V_{\mathrm{d}}}{2 \mathrm{~A}}=\frac{3 \cdot 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3500}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 50 \cdot 200}=0,53 \mathrm{MPa}<0,99 \mathrm{MPa}$

## 3 Step joint

Joint of a compression member with cross-section $140 \times 140 \mathrm{~mm}$, see Figure below ( cutting depth is 45 mm , shear length in chord 250 mm and $\beta=45^{\circ}$ ).

Design values of timber properties are $\left.f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}=11,03 \mathrm{MPa}, f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}=2,21 \mathrm{MPa}, f_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}=1,32 \mathrm{MPa}\right)$. Design compressive force $N_{\mathrm{d}}=55 \mathrm{kN}$.


Design compressive strength at an angle to the grain
$f_{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{\frac{f_{\mathrm{c}, 0, \mathrm{~d}}}{k_{\mathrm{c}, 90} f_{\mathrm{c}, 90, \mathrm{~d}}} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha}=\frac{11,03}{\frac{11,03}{2,81} \sin ^{2} 22,5^{\circ}+\cos ^{2} 22,5^{\circ}}=7,72 \mathrm{MPa}$

Verification of failure conditions
$\sigma_{\mathrm{c}, \alpha, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}} \cos ^{2} \alpha}{\mathrm{bt}_{\mathrm{z}}}=\frac{55 \cdot 10^{3} \cos ^{2} 22,5^{\circ}}{140 \cdot 45}=7,45 \mathrm{MPa}<7,72 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\tau_{\mathrm{v}, \mathrm{d}}=\frac{\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{d}} \cos \beta}{\mathrm{b} \ell_{\mathrm{z}}}=\frac{55 \cdot 10^{3} \cos 45^{\circ}}{140 \cdot 250}=1,11 \mathrm{MPa}<1,32 \mathrm{MPa}$

## 4 Timber-framed wall

The walls assembly presented in Fig. 1 is subjected to the total design horizontal force $F_{H, d, t o t}=25 \mathrm{kN}$ (short-term) acting at the top of the wall assembly.


Figure 1: Example of the wall assembly.

The single panel wall element of actual dimensions $h=263.5 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $b=125 \mathrm{~cm}$ is composed of timber studs $(2 x 9 x 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ and $1 \times 4.4 \times 9 \mathrm{~cm})$ and timber girders ( $2 x 8 x 9 \mathrm{~cm}$ ). The plywood sheathing boards of the thickness $t=15 \mathrm{~mm}$ are fixed to the timber frame using staples of $\Phi 1.53 \mathrm{~mm}$ and length $l=35 \mathrm{~mm}$ at an average spacing of $s=75 \mathrm{~mm}$ (Fig. 2).


Figure 2: Cross-section of the single wall element.
Material properties for the timber of quality C22 are taken from EN338 and for the Swedian plywood boards (S-plywood) from Steck »Holzwerkstoffe - Sperrholz, Holzbauwerke: Bemessung und Baustoffe nach Eurocode 5, Step 1«, 1995. All material properties are listed in Table 1.

Table 1. Properties of used materials.

|  | $\mathbf{E}_{0, \mathbf{m}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{k}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{t}, 0, \mathbf{k}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ | $\mathbf{f}_{\mathbf{c}, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{k}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}\right]$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{k}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ | $\rho_{\mathrm{m}}$ <br> $\left[\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}\right]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| C22 | 10000 | 22.0 | 13.0 | 20.0 | 340.0 | 410.0 |
| S - plywood | 9200 | 23.0 | 15.0 | 15.0 | 410.0 | 410.0 |

*The values are given for 12 mm typical thickness of the board.
a.) Characteristic fastener yield moment

$$
M_{y, R k}=240 \cdot d^{2.6}=240 \cdot 1.53^{2.6}=725.12 \mathrm{Nmm}
$$

b.) Characteristic embedment strength
in plywood: $\quad f_{h, 1, k}=0.11 \cdot \rho_{k} \cdot d^{-0.3}=0.11 \cdot 410 \cdot 1.53^{-0.3}=39.70 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
in timber: $\quad f_{h, 2, k}=0.082 \cdot \rho_{k} \cdot d^{-0.3}=0.082 \cdot 340 \cdot 1.53^{-0.3}=24.54 \mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{mm}^{2}$
c.) Lateral characteristic capacity of an individual fastener ( $t_{l}=15 \mathrm{~mm}, t_{2}=20 \mathrm{~mm}$ )

Lateral characteristic load-carrying capacity per staple per shear plane should be considered as equivalent to that of two nails with the staple diameter:

$$
F_{f, R k}=2 \cdot f_{h, l, k} \cdot t_{l} \cdot d=1822.14 \mathrm{~N}
$$

$F_{f, R k}=2 \cdot f_{h, 2, k} \cdot t_{2} \cdot d=1501.88 \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{v, R k}=2 \cdot \frac{f_{h, l, k} \cdot t_{l} \cdot d}{1+\beta} \cdot\left[\sqrt{\beta+2 \beta^{2} \cdot\left[1+\frac{t_{2}}{t_{l}}+\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{l}}\right)^{2}\right]+\beta^{3} \cdot\left(\frac{t_{2}}{t_{l}}\right)^{2}}-\beta \cdot\left(1+\frac{t_{2}}{t_{l}}\right)\right]+\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}=678.04 \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{f, R k}=2 \cdot 1.05 \cdot \frac{f_{h, l, k} \cdot t_{l} \cdot d}{2+\beta} \cdot\left[\sqrt{2 \beta \cdot(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta \cdot(2+\beta) \cdot M_{y, R k}}{f_{h, l, k} \cdot d \cdot t_{l}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}=667.10 \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{f, R k}=2 \cdot 1.05 \cdot \frac{f_{h, l, k} \cdot t_{2} \cdot d}{2+\beta} \cdot\left[\sqrt{2 \beta^{2} \cdot(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta \cdot(2+\beta) \cdot M_{y, R k}}{f_{h, l, k} \cdot d \cdot t_{2}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}=705.88 \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{f, R k}=2 \cdot 1.15 \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta}} \cdot \sqrt{2 M_{y, R k} \cdot f_{h, l, k} \cdot d}+\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}=596.68 \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{f, R k}=596.67 \mathrm{~N}$
d.) Characteristic racking load-carrying capacity of one wall panel (Eurocode 5-1-1; Method A)
$F_{i, v, R k}=2 \cdot \frac{F_{f, R k} \cdot b_{i} \cdot c_{i}}{s}=2 \cdot \frac{596.67 \cdot 125.0 \cdot 0.949}{7.5}=18874.66 \mathrm{~N}=18.87 \mathrm{kN}$
$c_{i}=\frac{b_{i}}{b_{0}}=\frac{125 \cdot 2}{263.5}=0.949 ; b_{0}=\frac{h}{2}$
e.) Characteristic racking load-carrying capacity of the wall assembly (the wall element with the opening is not considered)

$$
F_{v, R k}=\sum F_{i, v, R k}=2 \cdot 18.87 \mathrm{kN}=37.74 \mathrm{kN}
$$

f.) Design racking load-carrying capacity of the wall assembly $\left(k_{\text {mod }}=0.9\right)$
$F_{v, R d}=k_{m o d} \cdot \frac{F_{v, R k}}{\gamma_{M}}=0.9 \cdot \frac{37.74}{1.30}=26.13 \mathrm{kN}$
g.) Ultimate limit state criteria
$F_{v, R d}>F_{H, d, t o t}$
$26.13 \mathrm{kN}>25.0 \mathrm{KN}$
h.) Design external forces in the supports (Fig. 1)

$$
F_{i, c, E d}=F_{i, t, E d}=\frac{F_{H, d} \cdot h}{b}=\frac{25.0 \cdot 263.5}{2 \cdot 125}=26.35 \mathrm{kN}
$$

## 5 Single tapered beam

Assessment of a single tapered beam (Fig. 10.1). Material: glue laminated timber (GL 24h), service class 1. Characteristic values: Dead load $\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{k}}=4,5 \mathrm{kNm}^{-1}$, snow $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{k}}=4,5 \mathrm{kNm}^{-1}$.
Materials and geometrical characteristics of the beam:


Fig. 10.1 Scheme of the single tapered beam

Span:
Depth of the beam at the apex:
Angle of the taper:
Width of the beam:
Precamber of the beam:
$f_{m, g, k}=24 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{v, g, k}=2,7 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{c, 90, g, k}=2,7 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{t, 90, g, k}=0,4 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\mathrm{E}_{0, \text { mean, } \mathrm{g}}=11600 \mathrm{MPa}$
The beam is prevented against lateral-torsional buckling.
Design bending strength

$$
f_{m, g, d}=k_{\bmod } \frac{f_{m, g, k}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,9 \frac{24}{1,25}=17,28 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Design shear strength
$f_{v, g, d}=k_{\text {mod }} \frac{f_{v, g, k}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,9 \frac{2,7}{1,25}=1,94 \mathrm{MPa}$
Design compressive strength perpendicular to the grain

$$
f_{c, 90, g, d}=k_{\bmod } \frac{f_{c, 90, g, k}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,9 \frac{2,7}{1,25}=1,94 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Basic combination of the load
$q_{d}=1,35 g_{k}+1,5 p_{k}=1,35 \cdot 4,5+1,5 \cdot 4,5=12,825 \mathrm{kNm}^{-1}$
Shear force at a support

$$
V_{d}=q_{d} \frac{L}{2}=12,825 \frac{12}{2}=76,95 \mathrm{kN}
$$

Depth of the beam at the support
$h_{S}=h_{a p}-\operatorname{tg} \alpha \cdot L=1,2-\operatorname{tg} 3^{0} \cdot 12=0,571 \mathrm{~m}$

Verification of failure conditions
a) Shear at support
$\tau_{v, d}=\frac{3 V_{d}}{2 b h_{0}}=\frac{3 \cdot 76,95 \cdot 10^{3}}{2 \cdot 140 \cdot 571}=1,44 \mathrm{MPa}<1,94 \mathrm{MPa}$
b) Bending at critical cross-section

Critical cross-section position
$x=\frac{L}{1+\frac{h_{a p}}{h_{s}}}=\frac{12}{1+\frac{1,2}{0,571}}=3,87 \mathrm{~m}$
Depth of the beam at critical cross-section
$h_{x}=\frac{2 \cdot h_{a p}}{1+\frac{h_{a p}}{h_{s}}}=\frac{2 \cdot 1,2}{1+\frac{1,2}{0,571}}=0,774 \mathrm{~m}$
Bending moment at critical cross-section
$M_{d}=V_{d} x-\frac{q_{d} x^{2}}{2}=76,95 \cdot 3,87-\frac{12,825 \cdot 3,87^{2}}{2}=201,76 \mathrm{kNm}$
Stress at critical cross-section
$\sigma_{m, 0, d}=\sigma_{m, \alpha, d}=\frac{6 M_{d}}{b h_{x}^{2}}$
$\sigma_{m, o, d} \leq f_{m, g, d}$
$\sigma_{m, 0, d}=\frac{6 \cdot 201,76 \cdot 10^{6}}{140 \cdot 774^{2}}=14,43 M P a<17,28 \mathrm{MPa} \Rightarrow$ allowed
$\sigma_{m, \alpha, d} \leq k_{m, \alpha} \cdot f_{m, g, d}$
$k_{m, \alpha}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{f_{m, g, d}}{1,5 f_{v, g, d}} \operatorname{tg} \alpha\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f_{m, g, d}}{f_{c, 90, g, d}} \operatorname{tg}^{2} \alpha\right)^{2}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{17,28}{1,5 \cdot 1,94} \operatorname{tg} 3^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{17,28}{1,94} \operatorname{tg}^{2} 3^{0}\right)^{2}}}=0,9112$
$\sigma_{m, \alpha, d}=14,43 M P a<0,9112 \cdot 17,28=15,74 M P a \quad \Rightarrow$ allowed
c) Deflection
$w_{m}=k_{u} \cdot w_{0}$
Coefficient $k_{u}$ - see Fig. 10.2.
$h_{0}=\frac{h_{s}+h_{a p}}{2}=\frac{0,571+1,2}{2}=0,886 \mathrm{~m}$
$\frac{h_{a p}}{h_{s}}=\frac{1,2}{0,571}=2,10 \Rightarrow k_{u}=1,1166$
$k_{\text {def }}=0,6$


Fig. 10.2 Coefficient $k_{u}$
c1) Instantaneous deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {inst,g}}=k_{u} \cdot \frac{5 \cdot g \cdot L^{4}}{384 \cdot E \cdot I_{y}}=1,1166 \cdot \frac{5 \cdot 4,5 \cdot 12^{4} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot 12}{384 \cdot 11600 \cdot 140 \cdot 886^{3}}=14,42 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& w_{\text {inst,s }}=k_{u} \cdot \frac{5 \cdot s \cdot L^{4}}{384 \cdot E \cdot I_{y}}=1,1166 \cdot \frac{5 \cdot 4,5 \cdot 12^{4} \cdot 10^{12} \cdot 12}{384 \cdot 11600 \cdot 140 \cdot 886^{3}}=14,42 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& w_{\text {inst }}=w_{\text {inst,g}}+w_{\text {inst }, s}=14,42+14,42=28,84 \mathrm{~mm}=\frac{L}{416}<\frac{L}{400} \Rightarrow \text { allowed }
\end{aligned}
$$

c2) Final deflection

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{f i n, g}=w_{\text {inst,g}} \cdot\left(1+k_{d e f}\right)=14,42 \cdot(1+0,6)=23,07 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& w_{f i n, s}=w_{\text {inst,s }} \cdot\left(1+\psi_{2} \cdot k_{d e f}\right)=14,42 \cdot(1+0 \cdot 0,6)=14,42 \mathrm{~mm} \\
& w_{f i n}=w_{\text {fin }, g}+w_{\text {fin }, s}=23,07+14,42=37,49 \mathrm{~mm}=\frac{L}{320}<\frac{L}{250} \Rightarrow \text { allowed }
\end{aligned}
$$

c3) Net final deflection

$$
w_{\text {net, fin }}=w_{\text {fin }}-w_{c}=37,49-30=7,49 \mathrm{~mm}=\frac{L}{1602}<\frac{L}{300} \Rightarrow \text { allowed }
$$

## 6 Double tapered beam

Problem:


$$
h_{0}=600 \mathrm{~mm} \quad h_{a p}=1100 \mathrm{~mm} \quad L=20000 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \text { width of beam: } b=190
$$

Glulam: GL36c $\rightarrow f_{m, k}=36 \mathrm{MPa} \quad f_{v, k}=3,8 \mathrm{MPa} \quad f_{c, 90, k}=3,3 \mathrm{Mpa} f_{t, 90, k}=0,5 \mathrm{MPa}$ Load duration: short term $\quad p=1,5 \cdot 7,0+1,2 \cdot 2,0=12,9 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m}$
Service class: $2 \rightarrow \quad k_{\text {mod }}=0,9$
Assumption: Lateral torsional buckling is prevented by sufficient transverse bracing ( $k_{\text {crit }}=1$ )

## Ultimate limit state

Design strength: $\quad f_{d}=k_{\text {mod }} \frac{f_{k}}{\gamma_{M}}=0,9 \frac{f_{k}}{1,25}=0,72 \cdot f_{k} \quad \rightarrow \quad f_{m, d}=0,72 \cdot 36=25,9 \mathrm{MPa}$

$$
f_{v, d}=0,72 \cdot 3,8=2,74 \mathrm{MPa} ; \quad f_{c, 90, d}=0,72 \cdot 3,3=2,38 \mathrm{MPa} ; \quad f_{t, 90, d}=0,72 \cdot 0,5=0,36 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Critical section with respect to bending, for a uniformly distributed load, is at distance

$$
\begin{aligned}
& L_{c}=L\left(h_{0} / 2 h_{a p}\right)=20000(600 / 2 \cdot 1100)=5450 \mathrm{~mm} \text { from the support, where } \\
& h_{c}=h_{0}+\left(h_{a p}-h_{0}\right) \cdot 2 L_{c} / L=600+273=873 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also: $\tan \alpha=\left(h_{a p}-h_{0}\right) /(0,5 \cdot L)=(1100-600) / 10000=0,05$
Nominal bending stress at critical section:

$$
\sigma_{m, \alpha, d}=\frac{M_{c}}{W_{c}}=\frac{0,5 \cdot p L_{c}\left(L-L_{c}\right)}{b h_{c}^{2} / 6}=\frac{3 \cdot 12,9 \cdot 5,45(20-5,45) \cdot 10^{6}}{190 \cdot 873^{2}}=21,2 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Verification of failure condition, (6.38),

$$
\sigma_{m, \alpha, d} \leq k_{m, \alpha} f_{m, d}
$$

where the stress modification factor due to compression at the tapered egde is defined by (6.40):

$$
k_{m, \alpha}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{f_{m, d}}{1,5 f_{v, d}} \tan \alpha\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{f_{m, d}}{f_{c, 90, d}} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)^{2}}}=0,953
$$

Hence $\quad k_{m, \alpha} f_{m, d}=0,953 \cdot 25,9=24,7 \mathrm{MPa}>\sigma_{m, \alpha, d}=21,2 \mathrm{MPa}$
At the apex, the bending stress is defined by (6.42):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{m, d}=k_{l} \frac{6 M_{a p, d}}{b h_{a p}^{2}} \text { where } k_{l}=1+1,4 \tan \alpha+5,4 \tan ^{2} \alpha=1,084 \\
\rightarrow \quad & \sigma_{m, d}=1,084 \frac{6 \cdot 12,9 \cdot 20^{2} / 8}{190 \cdot 1100^{2}} 10^{6}=18,2 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

The requirement, (6.41), is: $\quad \sigma_{m, d} \leq k_{r} f_{m, d}$
Since $k_{r}=1,0$ (see 6.49) the bending stress at apex is well below the limit.
Largest tensile stress perpendicular to grain is defined by (6.54):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{t, 90, d} & =k_{p} \frac{6 M_{a p, d}}{b h_{a p}^{2}} \text { where } k_{p}=k_{5}=0,2 \tan \alpha=0,01 \quad(\text { see 6.56) } \\
\rightarrow \quad & \sigma_{t, 90, d}=0,01 \frac{6 \cdot 12,9 \cdot 20^{2} / 8}{190 \cdot 1100^{2}} 10^{6}=0,17 \mathrm{MPa}
\end{aligned}
$$

The design requirement is, (6.53),

$$
\frac{\tau_{d}}{f_{v, d}}+\frac{\sigma_{t, 90, d}}{k_{d i s} k_{v o l} f_{t, 90, d}}=\frac{0}{2,74}+\frac{0,17}{1,4 \cdot 0,53 \cdot 0,36}=0,63<1
$$

The volume factor, $k_{\text {vol }}$, has been determined by (6.51) with $V=0,19 \cdot 1,1 \cdot 1,1=0,23 \mathrm{~m}^{3}$.
The shear stresses should, according to the current version of the code, not exceed the shear strength $\tau_{d}$. However, a modification to the code, reducing the width of the section by a "cracking" factor $k_{c r}$, will most likely be made in the near future. The value for glulam is $k_{c r}=0,67$. For a rectangular section this means that the shear stress should not exceed

$$
k_{c r} \tau_{d}=0,67 \cdot 2,74=1,83 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Maximum shear stress, at the support,

$$
\tau_{d}=\frac{3}{2} \frac{V}{A}=\frac{3 \cdot 129000}{190 \cdot 600}=1,70 \mathrm{MPa}<1,83 \mathrm{MPa}
$$

Conclusion: All strength requirements are satisfied.

## Serviceability limit state

Maximum displacement for this beam, due to a uniformly distributed load, is (by a computer analysis) found to be 1,63 times that of a corresponding beam with uniform height equal to $h_{a p}=1100 \mathrm{~mm}$. For GL36c: $E_{0}=14700 \mathrm{MPa}$. From Section 2.1.2:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w_{\text {inst }}=1,63 \frac{5 \cdot p \cdot 20000^{4}}{384 \cdot 14700 \cdot 190 \cdot 1100^{3} / 12}=10,96 \cdot p \\
& w_{\text {net }, \text { fin }}=w_{\text {inst }, G}\left(1+k_{\text {def }}\right)+w_{\text {inst }, Q}\left(1+\psi_{2,1} k_{\text {def }}\right) \\
& w_{\text {net, fin }}=10,96 \cdot 2(1+0,8)+10,96 \cdot 7(1+0,2 \cdot 0,8)=39,5+89,0=128,5 \mathrm{~mm}
\end{aligned}
$$

In other words, $w_{\text {net fin }}=L / 155$, which is well above the recommended value of table 7.2.
Conclusion: The displacement may, depending on the type of building, be too large.
It may be considered to produce the beam with a precamber of, say 100 mm .

## 7 Moment resisting joint

Design and assessment of moment resisting joint in the corner of the three-hinged plane frame. Material: glued laminated timber (GL 24h), service class 1.
Geometrical characteristics of the frame:


Span:
Depth of the rafter:
Width of the rafter:
Depth of the column:
Width of the column:
Angle of the rafter:
$\mathrm{L}=25 \mathrm{~m}$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{R}}=1480 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{R}}=200 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{h}_{\mathrm{C}}=1480 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\mathrm{b}_{\mathrm{C}}=2 \times 120 \mathrm{~mm}$
$\alpha=13.5^{0}$

Material properties (characteristic values):
$f_{m, g, k}=24 M P a$
$f_{v, g, k}=2,7 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\rho_{k}=380 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
Design bending strength
$f_{m, g, d}=k_{\text {mod }} \frac{f_{m, g, k}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,9 \frac{24}{1,25}=17,28 \mathrm{MPa}$
Design shear strength
$f_{v, g, d}=k_{\text {mod }} \frac{f_{v, g, k}}{\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}}=0,9 \frac{2,7}{1,25}=1,94 \mathrm{MPa}$

Dowels:
Steel grade S235 Ø24 mm (4.6): $\quad f_{u, k}=400 \mathrm{MPa}$
Internal forces at the corner:
Column:

$$
M_{d}=676.8 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{Nmm} \quad V_{d, C}=150.4 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad N_{d, C}=178.1 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

Rafter: $\quad M_{d}=676.8 \cdot 10^{6} \mathrm{Nmm} V_{d, R}=138.1 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad N_{d, R}=187.8 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
Design of dowel joints:

Outer circle: $\quad r_{1} \leq 0.5 h-4 d=0.5 \cdot 1480-4 \cdot 24=644 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \Rightarrow r_{1}=644 \mathrm{~mm}$
Inside circle: $\quad r_{2} \leq r_{1}-5 d=644-5 \cdot 24=524 \mathrm{~mm} \quad \Rightarrow r_{2}=524 \mathrm{~mm}$
Number of dowels in circles:
$n_{1} \leq \frac{2 \pi r_{1}}{6 d}=\frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot 644}{6 \cdot 24}=28.1 \mathrm{ks} \quad \Rightarrow n_{1}=28$
$n_{2} \leq \frac{2 \pi r_{2}}{6 d}=\frac{2 \cdot \pi \cdot 524}{6 \cdot 24}=22.8 \mathrm{ks} \quad \Rightarrow n_{2}=22$


Load of dowels:
Load of dowel in column and rafter of the frame due to bending moment:
$F_{M}=M_{d} \frac{r_{1}}{n_{1} r_{1}^{2}+n_{2} r_{2}^{2}}=676.8 \cdot 10^{6} \frac{644}{28 \cdot 644^{2}+22 \cdot 524^{2}}=24.69 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
Load of dowel in column of the frame due to shear and normal force:
$F_{V, C}=\frac{V_{d, C}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\frac{150.4 \cdot 10^{3}}{28+22}=3.00 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{N, C}=\frac{N_{d, C}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\frac{178.1 \cdot 10^{3}}{28+22}=3.56 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
Load of dowel in rafter of the frame due to shear and normal force:
$F_{V, R}=\frac{V_{d, R}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\frac{138.1 \cdot 10^{3}}{28+22}=2.76 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{N, R}=\frac{N_{d, R}}{n_{1}+n_{2}}=\frac{187.8 \cdot 10^{3}}{28+22}=3.76 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
Total load of dowel in the axis of the rafter and column of the frame:
$F_{d, C}=\sqrt{\left(F_{M}+F_{V, C}\right)^{2}+F_{N, C}^{2}}=\sqrt{\left(24.69 \cdot 10^{3}+3.00 \cdot 10^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(3.56 \cdot 10^{3}\right)^{2}}=27.92 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{d, R}=\sqrt{\left(F_{M}+F_{V, R}\right)^{2}+F_{N, R}^{2}}=\sqrt{\left(24.69 \cdot 10^{3}+2.76 \cdot 10^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(3.76 \cdot 10^{3}\right)^{2}}=27.71 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$

Shear force in column and rafter in joint:
$V_{M}=\left(\frac{M_{d}}{\pi} \frac{n_{1} r_{1}+n_{2} r_{2}}{n_{1} r_{1}^{2}+n_{2} r_{2}^{2}}\right)=\left(\frac{676.8 \cdot 10^{6}}{\pi} \frac{28 \cdot 644+22 \cdot 524}{28 \cdot 644^{2}+22 \cdot 524^{2}}\right)=360.74 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{V, d, C}=V_{M}-\frac{V_{d, C}}{2}=360.74 \cdot 10^{3}-\frac{150.4 \cdot 10^{3}}{2}=285.5 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
$F_{V, d, R}=V_{M}-\frac{V_{d, R}}{2}=360.74 \cdot 10^{3}-\frac{138.1 \cdot 10^{3}}{2}=291.7 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}$
The mechanical properties of dowels:
Embedding strength in fibres direction (characteristic value):
$f_{h, 0, k}=0,082(1-0,01 d) \rho_{k}=0.082 \cdot(1-0.01 \cdot 24) \cdot 380=23.68 \mathrm{MPa}$
a) Carrying capacity of dowel in column axis:

Angle between load and timber fibres:
$\alpha_{1}=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{F_{M}+F_{V, C}}{F_{N, C}}\right)=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{24.69 \cdot 10^{3}+3.0 \cdot 10^{3}}{3.56 \cdot 10^{3}}\right)=82.7^{\circ}$
$\alpha_{2}=\alpha-\left(\frac{\pi}{2}-\alpha_{1}\right)=13.5-(90-82.7)=6.2^{\circ}$
Embedding strength (characteristic value):
$k_{90}=1.35+0.015 d=1.35+0.015 \cdot 24=1.71$
$f_{h, 1, k}=\frac{f_{h, 0, k}}{k_{90} \cdot \sin ^{2} \alpha_{1}+\cos ^{2} \alpha_{1}}=\frac{23.68}{1.71 \cdot \sin ^{2} 82.7+\cos ^{2} 82.7}=13.94 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{h, 2, k}=\frac{f_{h, 0, k}}{k_{90} \cdot \sin ^{2} \alpha_{2}+\cos ^{2} \alpha_{2}}=\frac{23.68}{1.71 \cdot \sin ^{2} 6.2+\cos ^{2} 6.2}=23.49 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\beta=\frac{f_{h, 2, k}}{f_{h, 1, k}}=\frac{23.49}{13.94}=1.685$
Yield moment (characteristic value):
$M_{y, R k}=0.3 f_{u, k} d^{2.6}=0.3 \cdot 400 \cdot 24^{2.6}=465.3 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{Nmm}$
$t_{1}=120 \mathrm{~mm}, t_{2}=200 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
F_{v, R k, C}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{h, 1, k} \cdot t_{1} \cdot d=13.94 \cdot 120 \cdot 24=40.1 \cdot 10^{3} N \\
0,5 \cdot f_{h, 2, k} \cdot t_{2} \cdot d=0.5 \cdot 23.49 \cdot 200 \cdot 24=56.4 \cdot 10^{3} N \\
1.05 \frac{f_{h, 1, k} \cdot t_{1} \cdot d}{2+\beta}\left[\sqrt{2 \beta(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta(2+\beta) M_{y, R k}}{f_{h, 1, k} \cdot d \cdot t_{1}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\left[\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}\right]^{*}= \\
=1.05 \frac{13.94 \cdot 120 \cdot 24}{2+1.685} . \\
\cdot\left[\sqrt{2 \cdot 1.685 \cdot(1+1.685)+\frac{4 \cdot 1.685 \cdot(2+1.685) \cdot 465.3 \cdot 10^{3}}{13.94 \cdot 120^{2} \cdot 24}}-1.685\right]=19.4 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
1.15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta} \sqrt{2 M_{y, R k} f_{h, 1, k} d}+\left[\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}\right]^{*}=} \\
=1.15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 1.685}{1+1.685}} \sqrt{2 \cdot 465.3 \cdot 10^{3} \cdot 13.94 \cdot 24}=22.7 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
* F_{a x, R k}=0
\end{array}\right\}
$$

$$
F_{v, R d, C}=\frac{k_{\mathrm{mod}} \cdot F_{v, R k}}{\gamma_{M}}=\frac{0.9 \cdot 19.4 \cdot 10^{3}}{1.25}=13.97 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}
$$

b) Carrying capacity of dowel in rafter axis:

Angle between load and timber fibres:
$\alpha_{2}=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{F_{M}+F_{V, R}}{F_{N, R}}\right)=\operatorname{arctg}\left(\frac{24.69 \cdot 10^{3}+2.76 \cdot 10^{3}}{3.76 \cdot 10^{3}}\right)=82.2^{\circ}$
$\alpha_{1}=\frac{\pi}{2}+\alpha-\alpha_{1}=90+13.5-82.2=21.3^{\circ}$
Embedding strength (characteristic value):
$f_{h, 1, k}=\frac{f_{h, 0, k}}{k_{90} \cdot \sin ^{2} \alpha_{1}+\cos ^{2} \alpha_{1}}=\frac{23.68}{1.71 \cdot \sin ^{2} 21.3+\cos ^{2} 21.3}=21.65 \mathrm{MPa}$
$f_{h, 2, k}=\frac{f_{h, 0, k}}{k_{90} \cdot \sin ^{2} \alpha_{2}+\cos ^{2} \alpha_{2}}=\frac{23.68}{1.71 \cdot \sin ^{2} 82.2+\cos ^{2} 82.2}=13.95 \mathrm{MPa}$
$\beta=\frac{f_{h, 2, k}}{f_{h, 1, k}}=\frac{13.95}{21.65}=0.644$
$t_{1}=120 \mathrm{~mm}, t_{2}=200 \mathrm{~mm}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{v, R k, R}=\min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
f_{h, 1, k} \cdot t_{1} \cdot d=21.65 \cdot 120 \cdot 24=62.4 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
0,5 \cdot f_{h, 2, k} \cdot t_{2} \cdot d=0.5 \cdot 13.95 \cdot 200 \cdot 24=33.5 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
1.05 \frac{f_{h, 1, k} \cdot t_{1} \cdot d}{2+\beta}\left[\sqrt{2 \beta(1+\beta)+\frac{4 \beta(2+\beta) M_{y, R k}}{f_{h, 1, k} \cdot d \cdot t_{1}^{2}}}-\beta\right]+\left[\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}\right]^{*}= \\
=1.05 \frac{21.65 \cdot 120 \cdot 24}{2+0.644} . \\
\quad\left[\sqrt{2 \cdot 0.644 \cdot(1+0.644)+\frac{4 \cdot 0.644 \cdot(2+0.644) \cdot 465.3 \cdot 10^{3}}{21.65 \cdot 120^{2} \cdot 24}}-0.644\right]=23.5 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
1.15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \beta}{1+\beta}} \sqrt{2 M_{y, R k} f_{h, 1, k} d}+\left[\frac{F_{a x, R k}}{4}\right]^{*}= \\
=1.15 \sqrt{\frac{2 \cdot 0.644}{1+0.644}} \sqrt{2 \cdot 465.3 \cdot 10^{3} \cdot 21.65 \cdot 24}=22.4 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \\
{ }^{*} F_{a x, R k}=0 \\
F_{v, R d, R}=\frac{k_{\bmod } \cdot F_{v, R k}}{\gamma_{M}}=\frac{0.9 \cdot 22.4 \cdot 10^{3}}{1.25}=16.13 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N}
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Verification of failure conditions:
a) Carrying capacity of the joint of frame column and rafter assessment:

- Column:
$F_{d, C}=27.92 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \leq 2 \cdot F_{v, R d, C}=2 \cdot 13.97 \cdot 10^{3}=27.94 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad \Rightarrow$ allowed
- Rafter:
$F_{d, R}=27.71 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \leq 2 \cdot F_{v, R d, R}=2 \cdot 16.13 \cdot 10^{3}=32.26 \cdot 10^{3} \mathrm{~N} \quad \Rightarrow$ allowed
b) Shear stress in frame column and rafter assessment:


## - Column:

$\tau_{v, C}=\frac{3 \cdot F_{V, d, C}}{2 \cdot b \cdot h}=\frac{3 \cdot 285.5 \cdot 10^{3}}{2 \cdot 2 \cdot 120 \cdot 1480}=1.21 \mathrm{MPa} \leq f_{v, g, d}=1.94 \mathrm{MPa} \quad \Rightarrow$ allowed

- Rafter:
$\tau_{v, R}=\frac{3 \cdot F_{V, d, R}}{2 \cdot b \cdot h}=\frac{3 \cdot 291.7 \cdot 10^{3}}{2 \cdot 200 \cdot 1480}=1.48 \mathrm{MPa} \leq f_{v, g, d}=1.94 \mathrm{MPa} \quad \Rightarrow$ allowed


## 17 Joint transmitting inclined forces



## Problem:

Determine the largest design force $F$ that can be transmitted by means of bolts with a characteristic tensile strength of $f_{u, k}=800 \mathrm{MPa}$.
Other problem characteristics are:

- Timber quality: C30 (all members): $\rho_{k}=380 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{m}^{3}$
- Loading is short term, and service class is 2

Minimum spacing as well as edge and end distances suggest 4 bolts, and with respect to the diagonal, in which the force is parallel to grain, we need a total width of at least $3 d+4 d+3 d$ $=10 d$, where $d$ is the bolt diameter. Hence $d=14 \mathrm{~mm}$ is the largest bolt diameter possible.
For fasteners in double shear in timber-to-timber connections the characteristic load-carrying capacity per shear plane is determined by the failure modes $\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{k}$ of Eq. (8.7).
The yield moment for one bolt is: $M_{y, R k}=0,3 f_{u, k} d^{2,6}=0,3 \cdot 800 \cdot 14^{2,6}=229160 \mathrm{Nmm}$
We first consider the force $F$ which is parallel to grain in the diagonal, but forms an angle of 45 degrees with the grain of the chord.

With $k_{90}=1,35+0,015 d=1,35+0,21=1,56$ we find the following characteristic embedment strengths (se Eqs (8.32) and (8.31)):
$f_{h, 2, k}=0,082(1-0,01 d) \rho_{k}=0,082 \cdot 0,86 \cdot 380=26,8 \mathrm{MPa}$ (diagonal)
$f_{h, 1, k}=\frac{f_{h, 2, k}}{k_{90} \sin ^{2} \alpha+\cos ^{2} \alpha}=\frac{26,8}{1,56 \cdot 0,5+0,5}=20,9 \mathrm{MPa}$ (chord) $\rightarrow \quad \beta=\frac{f_{h, 2, k}}{f_{h, 1, k}}=1,28$

Disregarding the rope effect, the formulas of (8.7) give the following characteristic capacities per bolt and shear plane:

$$
\text { g: } 14070 \mathrm{~N} \quad \underline{\text { h: } 9005 \mathrm{~N}} \quad \text { j: } 9530 \mathrm{~N} \quad \text { k: } 14125 \mathrm{~N}
$$

The capacity is governed by failure mode h , and since this mode is independent of the axial withdrawal capacity, the rope effect does not come into play.
In order to determine the effective number of bolts we need to know the distance $a_{1}$ (see figure). With reference to the figure we choose the following distances:
$a_{4 t(1)}=55 \mathrm{~mm}>(2+2 \sin 45) d=48 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow a_{3 \mathrm{c}(2)}=78 \mathrm{~mm}>4 d=56 \mathrm{~mm}$
$a_{4 \mathrm{c}(1)}=50 \mathrm{~mm}>3 d=42 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow a_{1(2)}=131 \mathrm{~mm}>5 d=70 \mathrm{~mm}$
$a_{2(2)}=60 \mathrm{~mm}>4 d=56 \mathrm{~mm} \rightarrow \quad a_{4 \mathrm{c}(2)}=44 \mathrm{~mm}>3 d=42 \mathrm{~mm}$
Hence, with $n=2: \quad n_{e f(2)}=\min \left\{n^{0,9} \sqrt[4]{\frac{a_{1(2)}}{13 d}}, n\right\}=\min \{1,72,2\}=1,72$, and the characteristic
capacity of the entire connection is: $F_{\mathrm{k}(2)}=(1,72 \cdot 2) \cdot 9005 \cdot 2=61955=\underline{62,0} \mathrm{kN}$
According to 8.1.2 (5) we also need to check the load-carrying capacity of the horizontal component of the force $F$. This problem is defined by a force $0,71 F$ in the chord (parallel to grain) being transmitted to the diagonal:
With $n=2$ and $a_{1(1)}=\sqrt{2 a_{2(2)}^{2}}=85 \mathrm{~mm}$, we find: $n_{e f(1)}=1,54$
We also need to compute new capacities per bolt and shear plane, since the force is now parallel to the chord grain, but acts at an angle of 45 degrees in the diagonal.
Hence: $f_{h, 1, k}=26,8 \mathrm{MPa}$ and $f_{h, 2, k}=20,9 \mathrm{MPa} \quad \rightarrow \quad \beta=\frac{f_{h, 2, k}}{f_{h, 1, k}}=0,78$
Again, disregarding the rope effect, the formulas of (8.7) now give the following characteristic capacities per bolt and shear plane:
g: 18010 N
h: 7035 N
j: 10023 N
k: 14125 N

Again, failure mode h governs, and we now find the capacity of the entire connection to be:
$F_{\mathrm{k}(1)}=(1,54 \cdot 2) \cdot 7035 \cdot 2 / 0,71=61035=\underline{61,0 \mathrm{kN}}$
Although there is little in it, it is the horizontal component of $F$ that governs the capacity.
With $k_{\text {mod }}=0,9$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{M}}=1,3$ we find the design capacity of the connection to be

$$
F_{d}=F_{k} \frac{k_{\bmod }}{\gamma_{M}}=61,0 \cdot 0,9 / 1,3=\underline{\mathbf{4 2}, \mathbf{2} \mathbf{~ k N}}
$$

The characteristic splitting capacity of the connection is, according to (8.4),

$$
F_{90, R k}=14 b w \sqrt{\frac{h_{e}}{\left(1-\frac{h_{e}}{h}\right)}}=14 \cdot(2 \cdot 48) \cdot 1 \sqrt{\frac{198-55}{\left(1-\frac{198-55}{198}\right)}}=30495=30,5 \mathrm{kN}
$$

If we assume that the vertical component of $F$, that is $0,71 \cdot 61,0=43,3 \mathrm{kN}$, is divided into two equal shear forces on each side of the connection, splitting is no problem, but we do not have sufficient information about the problem to make this claim.
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